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Health policy is a political sector that, more than others, absorbs and reflects national developments, traditions, and cultures.

Health systems are the results of decades of development and the rather individual response to a country’s social situation and profile.

Hans Stein, 2003 (Eurohealth)
Acknowledgements

CHA gratefully acknowledges the contribution of these reviewers:

Dalyce Cruikshank  
Regional Manager, Department of Family Medicine  
University of Calgary Medical Clinic

Raisa Deber, PhD  
Professor, Health Policy, Management and Evaluation  
Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto

Nadine Henningsen  
Executive Director, Canadian Home Care Association

Marcus J. Hollander, PhD  
President, Hollander Analytical Services Ltd.  
Victoria, British Columbia

Joe McReynolds  
President and Chair of Board of Directors  
Central West Local Health Integration Network  
Caledon East, Ontario

Their profound knowledge of the home care sector, their cross-country insights, and the detailed comments they provided strengthened this brief immensely.
# Table of Contents

## Executive Summary

## Sommaire (Français)

## Introduction

## Various Definitions of Home Care

## The Home Care Landscape in Canada

- History
- Models
- Funding Challenges
- Standards
- Home Care Services Clients
- Home care is a growing component of our health system
- Government spending on home care has risen, but it remains a small percentage of the health care budget.
- In Canada, private spending on home care outstrips public spending.
- Total expenditures on home care exceed what is reported
- Some Conclusions

## Identified Gaps—and Opportunities

- Three to Four Percent of Seniors
- Those Who Need Long-Term Home Care
- Children
- Those Who Are Dying
- First Nations and Inuit People
- Those Suffering from Mental Illness
- Legislation that Addresses Home/Community Care on a Pan-Canadian Basis
- Equitable Services Across Canada
- Information and Communications Technology, Electronic Health Records and Telehealth
- Case Management
- Targeting and Integrating Home Care with Other Parts of the System
- Conclusions

## Australia: Doing Things Differently Down Under

- What Can Canada Learn from Australia?

## What We Know about Home Care and Its Fit in the Continuum of Care

- Health Transition Fund
- What the Health Transition Fund Initiatives Found
- What Have We Learned from the Many Health Transition Fund Initiatives?
Table of Contents

Primary Health Care Transition Fund 50
Saskatchewan Home Care Review 50
The Balance of Care Project in Ontario 51
The Continuing Care Research Project 52
What Conclusions Can We Draw from the Research? 53

Grappling with Funding and Integrating Home Care 55
The English Example 55
PRISMA: High Value at No Extra Cost 57

The Givers of Care 59
Who Is Giving Home Care? 59
Formal Caregivers 59
Differences across the Country 61
Health Human Resources Challenges in Home Care 61
Informal Caregivers 63
The Effects of Caregiving on Caregivers 63
How Are We Recognizing the Contribution of the Caregiver? 67
The Compassionate Care Benefit 67
Is the Compassionate Care Benefit Adequate? 67
Other Supports for Caregivers 68
How We Compare Internationally in Our Support for Caregivers 70

Challenges as Yet Unmet 71
A Summary of Challenges 72

Recommendations 75

Recommandations (Français) 85

Conclusion 97
From the Margins to the Mainstream 97

Notes 101
Bibliography 107

Appendices 117

Appendix 1 Visions and Targets for Home Care 117
Appendix 2 Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities 127
Appendix 3 Co-payments and Income Testing 141
Appendix 4 Services Not Offered Through Home Care 143
Appendix 5 Coverage of Drugs, Supplies and Equipment 145
Appendix 6 Limits to Care Provision 147
Executive Summary

Home care is a key part of our health care system that has the potential to affect all Canadians. At some point in their lives, many Canadians will find themselves on either the giving or the receiving end of home care. It is a pan-Canadian challenge that requires attention, as care is increasingly being provided in the home.

Four main reasons are behind this shift:

- People generally prefer to receive care at home.
- Canada is an aging nation with increasing rates of chronic disease.
- Current technology allows us to offer more care at home.
- Governments are trying to contain their health care budgets, and home care is generally perceived to be lower-cost care.

Those who may need home care include individuals requiring continuing care after being discharged from hospital; persons who are dying; persons with a mental illness; frail elderly people who wish to remain in their home; persons with physical or mental disabilities able to live independently; children with special needs; and people with chronic disease(s). This list underscores the broad scope of home care and its importance to the health system.

Home care can permit individuals to live at home with independence and dignity, and is part of the solution to some of the challenges facing our health system, such as lengthy wait times for placements and procedures, pressures on emergency departments, inappropriate use of hospital beds, and a shortage of long-term care beds.

Home care involves a wide range of services and a wide range of caregivers: health professionals, paid caregivers and volunteers. These
Variations exist across the country in the type and volume of services provided, cyclical funding and underfunding, and differences in service delivery models.

In 2004, federal, provincial and territorial governments agreed on first-dollar coverage for home care services in three areas. Under the 10-Year Plan to Strengthen Health Care (2004 Accord), they agreed to publicly fund:

- two weeks of short-term acute home care after discharge from hospital;
- two weeks of short-term acute community mental health home care; and
- end-of-life care.

Apart from these three areas, differences exist not only among provinces and territories but also within them. There is variability in access to and provision of home care services and differences in the use of and application of co-payments and user fees. The delivery of appropriate home care services will continue to be a challenge until policy-makers realize its importance to the changing health system and focus on making services more equitable across the country.

**Home Care in Canada: From the Margins to the Mainstream:**

- explores the home care landscape in Canada with the purpose of broadening awareness, stimulating debate and promoting action;
- identifies gaps and opportunities with respect to groups of people whose home care needs are not being adequately addressed (3–4 percent of seniors, those who need long-term home care, children, dying persons, First Nations and Inuit, and people with mental illness); equitable services; co-payments and income testing; services not offered through home care; drugs, supplies
and equipment; limits to care provision; information technology, electronic health records and telehealth; and case management;

- highlights the experience of Australia, a country chosen because it is similar to Canada in size, parliamentary government, widely dispersed population, and its Aboriginal population. Australia established a national home care system and has honed it over two decades. In moving forward on home care policy, Canada can look to Australia as a role model;

- focuses on caregivers, 80 percent of whom are informal or unpaid. In 2007, nearly one in four Canadians reported caring for a family or friend with a serious health problem in the previous year. Many have taken leave from work or have left jobs, often with serious repercussions for their personal health and finances and the economic health of the country;

- reviews the availability of tax credits and the Compassionate Care Benefit with an eye to those informal caregivers who fall outside its parameters;

- examines how some other countries are choosing to recognize and support their informal caregivers;

- explores the challenges of recruiting and retaining the home care workforce, and how they can be mitigated;

- synthesizes the large body of research on the various forms of home care in Canada, particularly over the past decade, exploring what we have learned about the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of both long- and short-term home care; and

- brings the reader up to date on funding options.

Finally, this brief offers recommendations to all governments and stakeholders to address the challenges Canada faces:

1. Ensure integration and expansion of home care within the continuum of care.
   1.1 Expand, improve and identify standards for home care to ensure equitable access and to include long-term maintenance and prevention services.

2. Ensure predictable and sustainable funding in home care.
   2.1 Address the issue of co-payments and user fees.
   2.2 Expand pharmacare to those receiving home care.
   2.3 Reduce inequities among and within provinces and territories.
   2.4 Consider having the funding follow the client to allow for portability.

3. Introduce appropriate and relevant pan-Canadian principles to address a greater integration of home/community care.
   3.1 Define and expand the basket of services.
   3.2 Introduce a separate piece of legislation with appropriate and relevant principles to address a greater integration of home, community and long-term care on a pan-Canadian basis.
   3.3 Establish minimum standards and accountability mechanisms.
   3.4 Establish strong federal leadership.

4. Provide appropriate supports to both formal and informal (usually family) caregivers.
   4.1 Minimize the financial burden placed on informal caregivers through means such as amending the Canada Pension Plan/Quebec Pension Plan.
4.2 Provide additional supports for caregivers.
4.3 Increase the flexibility and availability of respite care.

5. Address the public/private split in terms of access to and quality of services, as well as transparency and accountability.

6. Invest in health human resources.
   6.1 Promote, encourage and strengthen home care health human resources through:
   • targeted recruitment and retention, and
   • education and training opportunities.

7. Make greater use of information and communications technology.

8. Share leading practices and experiences from both within and outside Canada.

Home care is moving from the margins to the mainstream of our health system. Given Canada’s evolving demographics, social realities and changing care patterns, home care will assume greater importance. This is the new landscape for policy-makers. With this brief, the Canadian Healthcare Association, whose members span the country and the health continuum, urges governments to give the home care sector and its caregivers the long-overdue policy recognition they deserve.
Les soins à domicile sont un volet important de notre système de santé et sont susceptibles de toucher tous les Canadiens. À un certain moment de leur vie, bien des Canadiens figureront parmi ceux qui offrent ou ceux qui reçoivent des soins à domicile. La question est de nature pancanadienne et il faut y porter attention, car les soins à domicile connaissent une croissance constante.

Quatre raisons principales justifient une telle attention :

• les gens préfèrent généralement recevoir les soins à domicile;
• la population canadienne est vieillissante et les taux de maladies chroniques sont à la hausse;
• la technologie actuelle nous permet d’offrir plus de soins à domicile;
• les gouvernements s’efforcent de contenir les budgets de la santé et les soins à domicile sont généralement considérés comme moins coûteux.

Parmi les personnes qui peuvent avoir besoin de soins à domicile, on compte les personnes qui ont besoin de soins continus après une hospitalisation; les personnes en fin de vie; les personnes atteintes de maladie mentale; les personnes âgées fragiles qui désirent rester dans leur domicile; les personnes atteintes d’incapacités physiques ou mentales, mais capables de vivre de façon autonome; les enfants ayant des besoins spéciaux; et les personnes atteintes de maladie(s) chronique(s). Cette liste souligne la vaste étendue des soins à domicile et leur importance dans le système de santé.

Les soins à domicile peuvent permettre à des personnes de vivre à la maison avec autonomie et dignité et font partie de la solution pour relever certains défis auxquels notre système de santé est confronté, tels les longs temps d’attente pour obtenir des services ou une place en établissement,
les pressions sur les services d’urgence des hôpitaux, l’utilisation inadéquate des lits d’hôpitaux et la pénurie de lits de soins de longue durée.

Les soins à domicile comportent une vaste gamme de services et font intervenir de multiples soignants : des professionnels de la santé, des intervenants rémunérés et des bénévoles. Ces bénévoles sont des membres de la famille, des amis, des voisins ou des membres d’organisations communautaires. En fait, les soins à domicile ne pourraient exister sous leur forme actuelle sans le grand nombre de bénévoles qui les soutiennent.

Les soins à domicile ne font pas partie des services de santé assurés en vertu de la Loi canadienne sur la santé. Contrairement aux services actuellement définis comme étant médicalement nécessaires (les services hospitaliers et les services médicaux) qui sont subventionnés par des fonds publics (couverts à partir du premier dollar), les soins à domicile sont des soins « complémentaires » et de ce fait, les gouvernements ne sont pas tenus d’offrir une gamme minimale de services.

Le financement des services de soins à domicile est problématique pour deux raisons. La première a trait au lieu. Les soins à domicile comportent des soins médicaux et des soins sociaux prodigués dans une maison ou dans un milieu communautaire. Quand les soins doivent être prodigués dans un nouveau milieu après une hospitalisation, il n’y a plus d’obligation légale d’offrir les services offerts par des personnes autres que des médecins qui auraient été couverts en milieu hospitalier, même s’ils sont jugés « médicalement nécessaires ». La Loi canadienne sur la santé définit ces services en fonction de la personne qui les offre (généralement un médecin) et du lieu de la prestation (généralement un hôpital). Cette conception traditionnelle de la prestation des soins devient problématique, car les soins sont offerts dans divers milieux.

La deuxième raison est liée à la nature des soins à domicile qui comprennent à la fois des services sociaux et des services médicaux. Les clients des soins à domicile les reçoivent pour trois raisons : le remplacement de soins de courte durée, le remplacement de soins de longue durée et la prévention et l’entretien. Les soins à domicile comprennent des soins qui ne sont pas « médicaux », même s’ils sont précieux et parfois même essentiels. Cela soulève donc la question de savoir quels services devraient être couverts par les fonds publics et quels services ne devraient pas l’être.

Cette ambiguïté relative aux soins à domicile fait également ressortir une certaine confusion par rapport aux personnes qui devraient recevoir des services de soins à domicile subventionnés par le secteur public et la nature des services qu’elles devraient recevoir. Il existe de grandes variations dans le pays par rapport au type et au volume des services fournis, au financement cyclique et au sous-financement, ainsi qu’aux modèles de prestation.

En 2004, les gouvernements fédéral, provinciaux et territoriaux se sont entendus pour couvrir dès le premier dollar les services de soins à domicile dans trois domaines précis. En vertu du Plan décennal pour consolider les soins de santé (Accord de 2004), ils ont convenu de financer par des deniers publics :

- des soins actifs à domicile de courte durée pendant deux semaines après le congé de l’hôpital;
- des soins actifs communautaires de santé mentale pendant deux semaines;
- des soins de fin de vie.

À part ces trois domaines, il existe des différences non seulement entre les provinces et les territoires, mais également au sein d’entre eux. L’accès aux soins et la
La prestation des services varient d'une autorité à l'autre, tout comme les règles relatives à la participation au coût et aux tickets modérateurs. La prestation de services de santé à domicile appropriés continuera de poser problème tant que les décideurs n'auront pas réalisé son importance par rapport à l'évolution du système de santé et n'accorderont pas une plus grande attention à la prestation de services plus équitables à la grandeur du pays.

Le mémoire Les soins à domicile au Canada : de l'exclusion à l'intégration :

- examine la situation des soins à domicile au Canada dans le but de sensibiliser l'opinion, de stimuler le débat et de promouvoir l'action;
- identifie les lacunes et les possibilités en ce qui a trait : aux groupes de personnes dont les besoins en soins à domicile ne sont pas satisfaits (de trois à quatre pour cent des personnes âgées, les personnes qui ont besoin de soins à domicile de longue durée, les enfants, les personnes en fin de vie, les membres des Premières nations et les Inuits, les personnes atteintes de maladie mentale); aux services équitables; à la participation aux coûts et à la prise en compte des revenus; aux services non offerts à domicile; aux médicaments, fournitures et équipements; aux limites à la prestation des soins; aux technologies de l'information, aux dossiers de santé électroniques et la télésanté; et à la gestion des cas;
- souligne les faits saillants de l'expérience de l'Australie en ce domaine, un pays choisi pour ses similarités avec le Canada sur le plan de la taille, du système parlementaire, de la répartition de sa population sur un vaste territoire et de sa population autochtone. L'Australie a mis en place un système national de soins à domicile et l'a perfectionné au cours des vingt dernières années. Si le Canada allait de l'avant avec une politique de soins à domicile, l'Australie pourrait servir d'exemple;
- met l'accent sur les intervenants, dont 80 pour cent sont des aidants naturels ou des intervenants non rémunérés. En 2007, près d'un Canadien sur quatre a déclaré s'être occupé d'un parent ou d'un ami ayant d'importants problèmes de santé au cours de l'année antérieure. Plusieurs d'entre eux ont quitté temporairement ou définitivement leur emploi, ce qui a eu des conséquences sérieuses sur leur santé et leurs finances personnelles et sur la santé économique du pays;
- examine la disponibilité des crédits d'impôt et des prestations de compassion en ayant à l'esprit ces aidants naturels qui ne peuvent s'en prévaloir parce qu'ils ne répondent pas aux critères établis;
- examine comment d'autres pays décident de reconnaître et de soutenir leurs aidants naturels;
- examine les défis liés au recrutement et au maintien en poste de la main-d’œuvre des soins à domicile et cherche comment les atténuer;
- résume l'important corpus de recherche sur les diverses formes de soins à domicile au Canada, particulièrement au cours de la dernière décennie et examine ce que nous avons appris sur l'efficacité et la rentabilité des soins à domicile de courte et de longue durée;
- informe le lecteur des diverses options de financement.

Finalement, ce mémoire présente à tous les gouvernements et aux parties intéressées des recommandations visant à relever les défis auxquels le Canada est confronté :
1. Assurer l’intégration et l’expansion des soins à domicile dans le continuum de soins.
   1.1 Étendre et améliorer les soins à domicile et établir des normes pour assurer l’accès équitable et inclure des services de maintien de longue durée et de prévention.

2. Assurer le financement prévisible et durable des soins à domicile.
   2.1 Examiner la question de la participation aux coûts et des tickets modérateurs.
   2.2 Étendre l’assurance-médicaments à ceux qui reçoivent des soins à domicile.
   2.3 Réduire les iniquités au sein des provinces et territoires et entre ceux-ci.
   2.4 Envisager des mécanismes faisant en sorte que le financement suive le client pour favoriser la transférabilité.

3. Adopter des principes pancanadiens appropriés et pertinents pour mieux intégrer les soins à domicile et les soins communautaires.
   3.1 Définir et étendre la gamme de services.
   3.2 Adopter une loi distincte qui établit les principes appropriés et pertinents pour mieux intégrer les soins à domicile, communautaires et de longue durée sur une base pancanadienne.
   3.3 Établir des normes minimales et des mécanismes de reddition de compte.
   3.4 Établir un leadership fédéral solide.

4. Offrir les soutiens appropriés aux intervenants professionnels et aux aidants naturels (généralement des membres de la famille).
   4.1 Réduire le fardeau financier imposé aux aidants naturels par diverses mesures, comme des modifications au Régime de pensions du Canada et au Régime des rentes du Québec.
   4.2 Offrir un soutien additionnel aux aidants naturels.
   4.3 Améliorer la flexibilité et la disponibilité des soins de relève.

5. Examiner la question du partage public/privé en matière d’accès aux services, de qualité des services, de transparence et de reddition de comptes.

6. Investir dans les ressources humaines en santé.
   6.1 Promouvoir, encourager et renforcer les ressources humaines en santé des soins à domicile par :
      • le recrutement et le maintien en poste de personnel ciblé
      • les possibilités d’éducation et de formation.

7. Utiliser davantage les technologies de l’information et des communications.


Les soins à domicile ne sont plus marginaux, ils deviennent un courant dominant dans notre système de santé. Dans un contexte où la démographie, les réalités sociales et les modes de prestation des soins évoluent considérablement, les soins à domicile sont appelés à prendre une importance de plus en plus grande au Canada. Il s’agit de la nouvelle réalité qui se présente aux responsables des orientations politiques. Par ce mémoire, l’Association canadienne des soins de santé, dont les membres proviennent de partout au pays et de tout le continuum de soins, presse les gouvernements d’accorder au secteur des soins à domicile et à ses intervenants la reconnaissance dans les politiques qu’ils méritent depuis fort longtemps.
Home care encompasses all aspects of the health system and involves many different players, including health professionals and their employers, unregulated health care workers, not-for-profit and for-profit organizations, volunteers and family members. There are many challenges facing home care, including the increasing demand for services coupled with broad and diverse geography and location of service delivery.

The five principles of the 1984 Canada Health Act — universality, public administration, comprehensiveness, portability and accessibility — outline the conditions under which the federal government will fund health services. The principles apply only to insured health services that cover hospital care (acute, rehabilitation and chronic) and medical services. They do not apply to other services, including outpatient rehabilitation care.

Home care remains an uninsured service under the Act, listed only as an extended service to which the five principles do not apply. It therefore has no protection under the Act. Provinces and territories have implemented standards to address quality and type of service. Although governments are obliged to provide some services through public funds, there are no uniform standards to ensure the quantity or type of services to be provided. There is inconsistency across the country in terms of eligibility for home care, public coverage of services, residency requirements, and access to services. There is also variability in wait times for services and service delivery. As home care is not included in the Canada Health Act, government policy and funding for home care may be driven by political decisions—not necessarily by public need.

Changes have taken place in health care over the years. Increasingly, patient care is shifting from the hospital to the community, and this trend will...
intensify with the assistance of technology and pharmaceuticals. In the final report of the Standing Senate Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology, The Health of Canadians — The Federal Role, Senator Michael Kirby stated, “Canadians believe they have a healthcare system, a national healthcare system, and they don’t. They have a national hospital and doctor system, which is now 46% of the total healthcare bill and falling.”

In general, health care is dually funded. Health services provided by medical professionals are usually funded by the public health insurance plan. Other health professionals are publicly funded to varying degrees. However, home support services such as personal care and homemaking services are a mix of both public and private pay (out of pocket and private insurance). Fees for home support services, if publicly delivered, may or may not be means-tested and may apply to medical supplies, equipment and care. Some provinces apply a means test for home support services, while others have established a threshold for services covered. Beyond that threshold, clients must pay for the services they receive, though they may obtain some tax relief in return. (See the section “Home Care Differences Across the Country.”)

Are these home care arrangements what Canadians need? In attempting to answer this question, we must pose others.

- Is home care a medical or social need?
- At what point does home care, particularly home support services, become necessary?
- Under what circumstances should home care be paid for publicly or privately?
- When is it cost-effective and care-effective? How do we reconcile costs, autonomy, quality of care and patient safety?
- Are there conditions under which patient outcomes are compromised?
- Should those who receive this care pay co-payments, and if so, according to what criteria?
- Should a social analysis for determining fees (if utilized locally) be standardized across the country?
- Is Canada too reliant on informal caregivers to provide home care?
- Should informal caregivers, both willing and conscripted, be compensated, and if so, to what extent?
- What other supports for informal caregivers are required?
- How can equitable access to home care services be ensured across the country?

Our knowledge of home care has increased as a result of research in the field, including the 45 projects undertaken through the Health Transition Fund (1999–2003), and others through its successor, the Primary Health Care Transition Fund (2000–2006). Today, we have a better idea of home care’s promise, its limits and its many challenges than we did a decade ago.

Examining current knowledge and goals and aspirations for the future, this paper:

- defines home care;
- briefly touches on the reasons behind its emergence and growing attention from government;
- identifies challenges and opportunities;
- contrasts elements of Canada’s approach to home care to that of Australia and other nations;
- explores the evidence regarding its ability to provide good health outcomes while emphasizing that policy-makers and health professionals must focus on ensuring the integration of home care into the health system as part of the continuum of care;
- focuses on home care providers, giving consideration to several factors,
including the number of volunteers and professionals involved, gender balance, training, compensation, case management, public versus private delivery of home care services, patient and provider safety, and working conditions;
• examines the funding — and unintended or invisible costs — of home care;
• describes differences across the country, both among and within provinces and territories, in terms of access, available services and charges; and finally
• presents recommendations.

Text boxes highlight leading trends and practices within Canada and internationally, as well as lessons learned.

This brief raises questions we have not yet addressed as a nation, but must confront. At the very root of these questions lies what University of Toronto professor of health policy Raisa Deber calls “the unresolved tension as to which costs should be borne by individuals and their families, charities and society as a whole.”
Various Definitions of Home Care

Over the years, the definition of home care has evolved.

Health and Welfare Canada, in 1990, defined home care in terms of its three key functions:

• acute care substitution, where home care meets the needs of people who would otherwise have to remain in, or enter, acute care facilities;
• long-term care substitution, where home care meets the needs of people who would otherwise require institutionalization; and
• maintenance and prevention, whereby people with health and/or functional deficits can remain in the home setting, both maintaining their ability to live independently and, in many cases, preventing health and functional breakdowns, and eventually institutionalization.7

In 2001, the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) created the Home Care Reporting System to provide comparable data on publicly funded home care to support policy-makers, health planners and front-line providers. The national indicators developed provide data on home care for client and system comparisons as well as information on population access, outcomes and use of resources. In doing so, CIHI developed the following definition: “An array of services, which enables clients incapacitated in whole or in part to live at home, often with the effect of preventing, delaying or substituting for long-term or acute care alternatives. These services may be provided by a number of different agencies or individuals.”8

The Canadian Home Care Association (CHCA) developed a more inclusive definition of home care in 2004. Since then, there has been wide-scale provincial and territorial acceptance of home care “as an array of services, provided in the home and community setting, that encompass

If we assume that the definition of health encompasses both medical and social elements, then the scope of insured home care services under Medicare should be expanded to incorporate a broader array of services. There is a lack of awareness, however, of what home care is and can be, which makes a public debate about its future challenging.

— Malcolm Anderson and Karen Parent9
health promotion and teaching, curative intervention, end-of-life care, rehabilitation, support and maintenance, social adaptation and integration, and support for the informal (family) caregiver.”

The CHCA notes that home care services are for “infants, children and adults,” and often integrate “the delivery of health care services in the home setting with community services (e.g. meals on wheels, day programs, respite care facilities, volunteer services, transportation services).”

This expanded definition highlights the broad spectrum of services that fall under home care. The increasingly widespread adoption of this definition indicates that governments and other organizations are beginning to recognize home care as a vital component in the continuum of care.

Evelyn Shapiro, the leading force behind home care in Manitoba, notes that “home care is a front-line but not a primary health service, and it is a unique blend of health and non-medical support services.”

Home care differs according to the needs of the individual. It includes medically oriented services, home support services, attendant care and preventive care, all of which enable individuals to stay in their own home. It is generally a care option for acute or post-acute patients; palliative patients; persons living with chronic conditions; frail elderly persons; those suffering from mental illness; and persons of all ages with disabilities and special needs. Home care includes such services as adult day support, assessment and case management, physiotherapy and occupational therapy, congregate living residences, equipment and supplies, group homes, nursing, home maintenance and repair, homemaker services, meal programs, palliative care, quick response teams, respite services and transportation services.
...the shift from hospital to home and community is fundamentally reshaping Canadian health care policy and politics — both the way in which health care services are funded and delivered and the dynamics of health care policy making. ... home and community care are more volatile politically and more open to the logic of commercial private markets. Thus, although Medicare remains resilient, it covers a declining proportion of health care, and the role of the state accordingly diminishes.

— Patricia M. Baranek, Raisa B. Deber, A. Paul Williams

The Home Care Landscape in Canada

Funding of health care is the responsibility of the provincial and territorial governments, with a few exceptions: funding for services defined in the 2004 Health Accord and for services to populations mandated in federal legislation (the military, veterans, prisoners, First Nations and Inuit). Although some federal funding is earmarked for home care through extended service allocations, the provinces and territories are primarily responsible for the delivery of home care services.

History

1970
- Ontario formally established publicly funded home care services.

1972
- Quebec followed with the establishment of the Local Community Service Centres (CLSCs), bringing full home care coverage to the province by 1988.

1974
- Manitoba became the first province to introduce a province-wide coordinated continuing care program, which was developed in response to recommendations suggesting a need for alternatives to expensive hospital care. Its Home Care Program provides services to all age groups.

1975
- Newfoundland and Labrador introduced home care on a limited basis.
1978
- **British Columbia** introduced its **Long-Term Care Program** as a province-wide initiative.
- **Alberta** established home care programs through 27 Health Units, providing professional services only to those over the age of 65. In 1984, the programs were expanded to include support services and palliative care.\(^\text{18}\)
- **Saskatchewan** introduced its comprehensive program of home care and placed it under the Department of Social Services, transferring it to the Department of Health in 1983.\(^\text{19}\)
- The **Northwest Territories** implemented a home care program in Yellowknife, which was expanded to include communities throughout the region.\(^\text{20}\)

1979
- **New Brunswick** created its **Extra-Mural Hospital with a broad mandate** to provide an alternative to hospital or other long-term care facilities.\(^\text{21}\)

1982
- **Ontario** began to formally fund home support services (although Ontario first offered an acute home care program as a demonstration project in 1958).

1983
- **British Columbia** added home care nursing and community rehabilitation to its **Long-Term Care Program**, and changed the name to **Continuing Care**.

1986
- **Prince Edward Island** started its **Home Care Support Program**.\(^\text{22}\)

1988
- **Nova Scotia** began its **Coordinated Home Care Program** for those aged 65+ with limited income or long-term disabilities. A Home Care Coordinating Agency was created to deliver the program for Nova Scotia’s senior citizens, disabled persons and families at risk.\(^\text{23}\)
- **Yukon** implemented its **Home Care Program** due to popular demand and strong lobbying, and the program has continued to grow.\(^\text{24}\)

2003
- **Nunavut**, which became Canada’s newest territory in 1999, developed its own standards, policies and procedures for home care.\(^\text{25}\)

**Models**

Provincial and territorial governments have considerable latitude in choosing the basket of home care services they wish to provide, applying user fees and/or co-payments and developing their service delivery models. In 2002, federal, provincial and territorial leaders tried to define a uniform basket of services. Despite much work, no agreement was reached due to system capacity and financial limitations.

Four basic models of service delivery have evolved.\(^\text{26}\)

1) Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Nunavut, the Northwest Territories, Quebec and Prince Edward Island have a public provider model, in which provincial or territorial government employees manage and deliver both home care (which includes professional services such as nursing care) and home support services (which
include such services related to personal care, housework, meals, shopping and respite care) directly; intermediate agencies either play a limited role or have no role at all.

2) In British Columbia, New Brunswick and Newfoundland and Labrador, all professional services are delivered by public employees, but home support services are delivered by private agencies.

3) In Alberta and Nova Scotia, both public and private employees provide professional home care services; public employees provide the administration; and home support services are contracted out.

4) In Ontario, Community Care Access Centre (CCAC) employees provide single-entry coordinating services, but all publicly paid professional home care and home support services are contracted to the private sector. A few CCACs deliver services through their employees.

**Funding Challenges**

Most provincial governments assign responsibility for funding and delivery of care to their regional health authorities, which must adhere to provincial standards and monitor outcomes. This regionalization of home care has had both positive and negative effects. While home care and home support have become more closely integrated into a system of continuing care, they now compete for funds at the regional level with established institutional-based programs. Aleck Ostry, professor at the University of Victoria, points out that home support programs are particularly vulnerable because marginalized populations both receive and deliver these services. (It is primarily low-income frail female seniors and people with disabilities who receive these services and primarily women with often limited formal education who deliver them.)

The ability of governments to choose the number and types of services and the delivery models means that home care is vulnerable to cyclical funding and political changes. For example, in Ontario, three successive majority governments (Liberal, New Democratic Party and Progressive Conservative) took different approaches to reforming home care between 1985 and 1996, each government changing the reforms undertaken by the previous one. Three different models were recommended over that relatively short time period: a brokerage model, with a coordinating agency purchasing services from existing providers; a quasi-public delivery system, whereby almost all service providers become employees of the new agency; and a managed competition model, whereby publicly funded services are purchased through a formal competitive contract process based on both price and quality.

**Standards**

No standards or operational objectives are legislated federally, as home care lies outside the prescribed boundary of the Canada Health Act. In light of changes in delivery, such as those seen in Ontario, an important question arises: is it in the best interests of those receiving and providing home care that entire systems can be changed as the political climate alters? A set of national principles, standards and guidelines would give agencies/individuals responsible for allocating resources solid direction in setting home care policies for their jurisdictions; would save time, effort and financial resources; and would provide a more stable and predictable service for home care recipients. These common
principles and standards would still allow for variation in how the service is delivered at the local level.

The federal/provincial/territorial governments have reached agreement on some home care services. (See box “Home Care Timeline.”) For example, in 2003, First Ministers agreed to provide “first-dollar coverage for [a] basket of services for short-term acute home care, including acute community mental health and end-of-life care.” The 2004 10-Year Plan to Strengthen Healthcare added the specifics about the types of home care services to be covered, based on assessed need. The Ministers agreed on several areas, but did not establish standards for the services delivered.

**Home Care Services Clients**

Statistics Canada reported that in 2003, 5 percent of Canadians aged 18 or older — an estimated 1.2 million — received some form of home care in the past 12 months. Approximately 648,000 were between the ages of 18 and 64 (representing 3 percent of that population), and the remainder were seniors (representing 15 percent of the over-65 population).

What about those under the age of 18? The Participation and Activity Limitation Survey (PALS), which is conducted by Statistics Canada every five years, collects information on adults and children up to the age of 15 whose daily activities are limited because of a condition or health problem. Results of the most recently released survey show that 202,350 children aged 0 to 14 (3.7 percent of that age group) reported a disability of some kind in 2006. It is not known how many of these children receive home care services, or the extent of the services they
receive. In his report, Senator Kirby estimates that children receive 15 percent of all home care services.\(^{33}\)

Statistics Canada compared its 2003 data on adults who use government-subsidized home care with its 1994–95 data.\(^{34}\)

- The gender ratio remained unchanged, with two-thirds of home care clients being women and one-third men.
- The average age in 2003 was 62, compared with just under 65 in 1994–95.
- Recipients spent fewer days in hospital in 2003 than they did in 1994–95.
- In 2003, more clients used nursing and personal care (52 percent compared with 39 percent) and fewer received housekeeping services (33 per cent, down from 51 percent).
- Substantially more needed help with personal activities of daily living (activities related to personal care, including bathing or showering, dressing, getting in or out of bed or a chair, using the toilet, and eating) or with moving about in their homes (up from 254,000 to 434,000).
- In 2003, a smaller percentage of those needing home care actually received it (35 percent versus 46 percent).
- The number of persons aged 18+ receiving government-subsidized home care who needed help with eating, bathing or dressing did increase, however, from 118,000 in 1994–95 to 153,000 in 2003.
- Of those who required help to move around in their home, the percentage that received government-subsidized home care dropped from 39 percent to 24 percent, although once again, the actual number of people receiving care of this nature rose from 68,000 to 74,000. This suggests that more people are requiring essential social programs and services of reasonably comparable quality;
  - provide appropriate assistance to those in need;
  - respect the principles of medicare: comprehensiveness, universality, portability, public administration and accessibility;
  - promote the full and active participation of all Canadians in Canada’s social and economic life;
  - work in partnership with individuals, families, communities, voluntary organizations, business and labour; and
  - ensure appropriate opportunities for Canadians to have meaningful input into social policies and programs.\(^{[3]}\)

2000

The 2000 Health Accord reflected First Ministers’ consensus that primary health care reform is necessary and that the First Ministers must work together to make improvements and modernize the system. Federal funding was provided for early childhood development; diagnostic and treatment equipment; and innovation and reforms in primary care; and for Canada Health Infoway to help accelerate the adoption of modern information technologies to provide better health care.\(^{[4]}\)

2000–2006

The Primary Health Care Transition Fund arose from the Health Transition Fund and the 2000 Health Accord. It was created to support the provinces and territories in their efforts to reform the primary health care system and to support various pan-Canadian initiatives to address common barriers.

2001

The Canadian Institute for Health Information creates the Home Care Reporting System.

2002

Final Report of the Commission on the Future of Health Care (commonly known as the Romanow Commission), Building on Values: The Future of Health Care in Canada. The Commission was created in 2001 to review Canada’s health care system, engage Canadians in a national dialogue on the future of health care, and make recommendations on sustaining a publicly funded health system.

Regarding home care, the Romanow Commission recommends that we recognize and develop home care as an essential service in the Canadian health care system.
Specific recommendations include using the proposed Home Care Transfer (intended to provide the foundation for an eventual national home care strategy) to ensure all Canadians have access to common home care services; revising the Canada Health Act to include three elements of home care as necessary medical services (home mental health management and intervention services, post-acute home care, and palliative home care); and providing benefits to support informal caregivers (family and friends) who deliver home care (allowing, for example, such informal caregivers to have time off work and special benefits through the Employment Insurance program). 

2002

The Report of the Standing Senate Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology (the Kirby Report), The Health of Canadians — The Federal Role, contains recommendations on expanding public health care insurance to include coverage for catastrophic prescription drug costs, immediate post-hospital home care costs, and costs of providing palliative care for patients who choose to spend the last weeks of their lives at home. It also contains recommendations for a caregiver tax credit and job protection for the caregiver, focusing on those giving palliative care.

2003

Through the First Ministers’ Accord on Health Care Renewal, the First Ministers agree to provide “first-dollar coverage for [a] basket of services for short-term acute home care, including acute community mental health and end-of-life care.” They also agree that access to these services should be based on an assessment of need, and that the services be available by 2006. The federal government agrees to establish compassionate care benefits and job protection for Canadians who need to leave their jobs temporarily to care for a gravely ill or dying child, parent or spouse.

2004

10-Year Plan to Strengthen Health Care adds the following specifics about the types of home care services to be covered, based on assessed need:

• short-term acute home care after discharge from hospital, consisting of two help, but only the people most in need are receiving it.
• The likelihood that people with specific chronic conditions would receive government-subsidized home care did not change significantly.
• More of those receiving subsidized home care suffered from urinary incontinence, adding to the burden of care (8 percent to 17 percent).

It is difficult to obtain up-to-date and comprehensive statistics on users of home care. The numbers vary for several reasons: there are limited provincial tracking systems; home care services can be paid for by both public funds and private funding; and the anonymity of family caregivers and other volunteers. Statistics Canada does not collect data on those between the ages of 15 and 18 and those receiving voluntary care. Furthermore, all provinces and territories have distinct home care programs, which provide different types of services and levels of care in the home. Beyond this, all jurisdictions have different reporting systems.

Home care is a growing component of our health system.

It has been noted that the number of home care recipients increased by almost 100 percent between 1995 and 2006. Why the growth?

• Clients/patients often prefer to receive care in their own home.
• Home care has garnered a high degree of support in the health care field and from the general public. The majority of Canadians (80 percent) support the development of more home and community care programs as a means of strengthening the health system.
Home Care in Canada: 
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come out in support of a more prominent role for home care.

- Canada’s population is aging. One out of seven Canadians is over the age of 65. In 2006, this cohort accounted for a record high of 13.7 percent of the total population, up from 13.0 percent in 2001 (nearly double the proportion of 7.7 percent in 1956). Between 2001 and 2006, the number of people aged 80 years and over surpassed the 1-million mark. Additionally, the number of centenarians rose sharply.38
- Canada faces an epidemic of chronic disease,39 the five most prevalent being cancer, cardiovascular disease, chronic pulmonary disease, mental illness and musculoskeletal conditions. One in three adults in Canada, or close to 9 million people, has a chronic disease. More than one-third of these have multiple long-term health problems. Chronic conditions are more common among older Canadians (77 percent of people 65 years or older have at least one chronic condition).40
- Governments perceive home care to be less expensive than other settings of care.
- Technology permits care to be given at home for a wide range of conditions.
- In some cases, home care is necessary because patients are being discharged more quickly as hospitals attempt to clear beds for the next patient.41

Without question, hospital stays have declined: the number of hospital beds has been reduced, and day surgery is more prevalent. In 2003, recipients of government-funded home care services spent an average of 8.6 days in hospital, compared with 13.4 in 1994–95.42
- There has been an overall shift away from long-term home care to short-term home care, resulting in greater turnover of recipients, and, therefore higher numbers of recipients. (More people does not mean more care, however.)

weeks of case management, intravenous medications related to the discharge diagnosis, and nursing and personal care; • short-term acute community mental health home care, consisting of two weeks of case management and crisis response services; and • end-of-life care, consisting of case management, nursing, palliative-specific drugs, and personal care.

Health ministers agree to explore the next steps to fulfilling the home care commitments described above, including plans for staged implementation and annual reporting to their citizens, and to reports to First Ministers by December 31, 2006.

2004

Compassionate Care Benefit is introduced by the federal government.

2007

Health ministers report that all provinces and territories have taken steps towards fulfilling their commitments for home and community care services as described in the 2004 Accord.7

Footnotes and Sources:

Demand for home care is expected to continue to increase as the large cohort of baby boomers enters and moves through their senior years. Although home care exists at the margins of what Baranek et al. call “the medicare mainstream,” it is emerging as a vital component of the health system.

**Government spending on home care has risen, but it remains a small percentage of the health care budget.**

Many jurisdictions have made efforts to improve access to home care. Universally accessible, publicly funded home care programs have been initiated and/or expanded, but all are targeted to specific populations. (See appendices 1 and 2 for an overview of each province and territory’s visions and targets for home care, and selected activities to expand access to home care services.)

The Canadian Institute of Health Information (CIHI) provides key data on government spending on home care.

- Government spending on home care grew from $1.6 billion in 1994–95 to $3.4 billion in 2003–04, representing an average annual growth of 9.2 percent.
- Home care spending constituted only 4.2 percent of total government health spending in 2003–04, up from 3.1 percent in 1994–95.
- The number of patients using government-subsidized home care increased from 23.9 per 1,000 in 1994–95 to 26.1 per 1,000 in 2003–04, representing an average annual increase of 1.0 percent. Over those years, spending on home care increased faster than the number of patients, suggesting that, in general, home care users each consumed more resources in 2003 than
they did a decade previously. In the 1990s, many people were cut off from preventive care services and the funds were reallocated to those needing a higher level of care. (See box “For Kids, There’s No Place Like Home.”)

• In 2003–04, provincial and territorial government spending on home care averaged $105.30 per capita.

CIHI separates home care spending into two components: home health, which includes professional services such as nursing care, and home support, which includes other services, such as personal care, housework, meals, shopping and respite care. Government spending on both components has increased over time: in 2003–04, per capita spending was $56.95 for home health and $60.10 for home support (up from $32.41 and $41.95, respectively, in 1995–96).44

In Canada, private spending on home care outstrips public spending.

CIHI notes that professional home health services accounted for an increasing share of spending on home care services, rising from 43.3 percent in 1995–96 to 48.6 percent in 2003–04.45

The Health Council of Canada estimates that in 2005, 2–3 percent of Canadians received government-subsidized home care and 2–5 percent paid privately for home care services.46 (See Chart 1, “Use of Home Care Services Funded by Government and Not Funded by Government.”) The proportion of private versus public services varies by province. In a 2003 Statistics Canada survey, for example, 65 percent of home care recipients in Manitoba indicated that they had some or all of their care covered by public funding, compared to 42 percent in British Columbia.47

The IACS, Capital Health and Children’s Treatment Network are in the vanguard of an ever-strengthening movement to provide more home care to children — and more respite to their parents.

Sources:

Total expenditures on home care exceed what is reported.

Actual spending on home care identified by CIHI may be twice the $3.4 billion identified. Why? First, more formal care is delivered privately than publicly. Second, the bulk of care is delivered by informal caregivers.
If one were to calculate a minimum wage for all the volunteer hours devoted to care,
Canadian Healthcare Association estimated to be at least $6 billion, the total amount devoted to home care in Canada could approximate $13 billion.

Chart 1: Use of Home Care Services Funded by Government and Not Funded by Government

Approximately 2-3% of Canadian adults reported using government-funded home care services; slightly more (2-5%) reported using home care services not funded by the government.

Note: Estimates were unavailable for the Territories due to small sample size.

Data taken from Statistics Canada, Canadian Community Health Survey, 2005

Some Conclusions

What conclusions can we draw from this section?

1. The number of people receiving home care has risen and is expected to continue to do so.
2. The people who are receiving subsidized home care generally require a higher level of care.
3. In looking at the health care budget as a whole, home care remains a small component.
4. Of the component dedicated to home care, the emphasis, or priority, is on post–acute care rather than long-term care that is focused on maintenance of skills and preventive care.
5. The provision of home care appears to be shifting from the public to the private sector, and, in cases where clients are unable to pay for private care or it is unavailable, the informal caregiver must shoulder more responsibility for care.
The need for home and community-based care is growing but the capacity to manage that need is still being developed. This has placed a significant burden of care on individuals, their families and volunteer caregivers.

— Canadian Institute for Health Information

Gaps Opportunities

Identified Gaps — and Opportunities

A number of gaps exist in the realm of home care — related to groups of individuals, specific services, and links between services. These gaps indicate that home care is not yet fully integrated into the continuum of care and that we are not utilizing home care to its greatest possible extent.

Three to Four Per Cent of Seniors

The Health Council of Canada noted that, in 2005, 3–4 percent of seniors reported that they need home care but do not receive it. (See box “Preventive Home Visits.”)

Those Who Need Long-Term Home Care

Governments have been focusing on short-term post–acute home care. In making this decision, they have been influenced by two major reports, both published in 2002: The Final Report of the Commission on the Future of Health Care, Building on Values: The Future of Health Care in Canada (the Romanow Report) and the Report of the Standing Senate Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology (the Kirby Report).

Both reports have been criticized for their limited home care recommendations. The Romanow Report, while recognizing home care “as the next essential service,” identified as priority areas home care for mental health case management and intervention, post–acute rehabilitation and medical care, and palliative care. With the exception of mental health, the Romanow recommendations focused on the short-term medical side of home care.
The Kirby Report made recommendations regarding home care similar to those of the Romanow Report. It urged a national program to provide publicly funded insurance coverage or post–acute home care, as well as coverage for a national palliative home care program.

Both the Kirby and Romanow reports emphasized the acute side of home care. In doing so, they did not give priority to those who are frail and elderly, who suffer from dementia, who require ongoing care due to co-morbidities; or who are physically and/or mentally disabled.

Children

Approximately 15 percent of home care clients are children and youth. Data on children are lacking, so it is not known how much of the care received is medical in nature and how much is devoted to ongoing care and development. What is known is that more children are surviving illnesses that previously would have been fatal, and that children with developmental problems who may well have been institutionalized in the past are now living in their homes and are eligible for home care services. These services are often vital not only for the children’s health but also for their development, as well as for the social support of their families.

In 2006, the Canadian Home Care Association gathered provincial/territorial information on governance, programs and services, access, population, and challenges, innovations and plans. Using this information, it produced a CD-ROM titled Home Care for Children with Special Needs: An Environmental Scan Across Canadian Provinces and Territories. (See box “Home Care for Children with Special Needs.”)

Findings include the following:

- National data are required. Most jurisdictions do not gather information about the age of children receiving home care services or their diagnoses. 
- Home care policies are aimed at seniors and do not specifically address children.
- Home care is different in nature for children with special needs. “Many of the
typical home care services available to the adult population, such as meals, home support, and day programs, are not provided to children as it is assumed that these are parental responsibilities.52

• There is income testing for families for home care services in six provinces/territories. There are generally limits and income considerations for families in relation to equipment, medications and supplies required to provide care in the home.53

• A physician order is a requirement for access to home care for children in British Columbia, Saskatchewan, Quebec and New Brunswick.54

• Respiratory therapy services, speech language pathology and nutrition services are not typically offered to children at home. Other therapy services are offered to a limited extent. Ontario is the exception; it offers all therapies except respiratory.55

• It is complex and difficult for parents and guardians of children with special needs to gain access to services. There are many ministries involved, and multiple points of access (health, education [as some home/community care for children is given through the schools] and children’s services).56

• Wait lists can be lengthy, both for diagnosis and for services. The Canadian Home Care Association points out that in the Baffin and Kitikmeot regions of Nunavut, a child may wait six to twelve months for occupational therapy and physical therapy and up to two years for speech language pathology services.57

• Home care services and programs for children are not consistent between rural and urban settings. This is due to the fact that trained staff are not generally available in rural settings.

• Respite services, offered by all provinces and territories, are particularly important for parents of children with special needs. In many cases, the children will have their conditions for life and will require lifelong care. Parents must often juggle the care of more than one child, adding to the burden of care.

• The transition from home services to school services and from children’s services to adult services is often problematic.58

Home care is very important for children with special needs as they are attached to and highly dependent on their parents, and institutionalization is not the preferred option for them. In 2002, Saskatchewan introduced the Children with Highly Complex Medical Care Needs program to assist the health regions in funding the direct care costs of children (up to the age of 22) with exceptional home care needs (in terms of both complexity and intensity) in cases where the child would otherwise have to live in a facility.59 Although outstanding programs such as this exist, the findings of the Canadian Home Care Association suggest...
that there are common issues that need to be addressed, chief among them being a lack of information on diagnoses (vital for proper educational planning); lengthy waiting lists; differences in services offered; and difficulties associated with transitions.

**Those Who Are Dying**

The Romanow and Kirby reports emphasized palliative care. Federal, provincial and territorial governments in the 2003 and 2004 accords made commitments to providing two weeks of palliative care in the home, where circumstances permit. However, a progress report undertaken by the Quality End-of-Life Care Coalition found that 60 percent of deaths still occur in hospitals, while most people have indicated that they would prefer to die at home. Though we have taken several strides forward in the care of dying persons, there is still considerable work to be done in this field.

**First Nations and Inuit People**

The federal government is responsible for the health care of First Nations and Inuit people, whose senior populations are expected to triple by 2026. The responsibility for home care services is divided among federal departments.

Health Canada launched the First Nations and Inuit Home and Community Care (FNIHCC) program in 1999. Developed through collaboration and consultation with Aboriginal communities, the program provides various health-related home care services, including case management and nursing care. In addition, the Assisted Living/Adult Care program, administered by Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, provides support services to elderly or disabled First Nations persons living on reserves. Services provided include homemaker, foster care and institutional care services.

Health Canada and Indian Affairs programs are relatively new and provide basic services where none existed before. There is no income testing nor direct fees, and supplies and equipment are provided free of charge. Yet, the programs are not meeting all the home care needs of Inuit and First Nations communities. The FNIHCC program is limited in its home care service provision by the fact that it is currently available Monday through Friday only. Services not funded are numerous: facilitation and linkages or rehabilitation and therapy services, including speech language pathology, social work, respiratory therapy and dietetics; respite care; adult day care outside the home; meal programs; mental health home-based services for long-term psychiatric clients and clients experiencing mental illnesses; traditional counselling and healing services; home-based palliative care; social services directly related to continuing care issues; and specialized health promotion, wellness and fitness.

In 2004, the Government of Canada and First Nations and Inuit organizations undertook research to gain a better understanding of existing home- and facility-based continuing care services in Aboriginal communities. They surveyed almost 500 clients who were receiving continuing care services in their own home or in a facility in eight First Nations communities in Manitoba and Quebec, and in three Inuit communities in Nunavik. The survey revealed some interesting findings: home care is used by clients with a wide range of care needs but is used mostly by those with lower care needs; existing facility services are used by all clients but more frequently by those with higher acuity; First Nations and Inuit continuing care clients tend to be younger.
than clients from the general Canadian population; and First Nations and Inuit persons of all ages appear more likely than other Canadians to be continuing care clients.

Several major gaps in continuing care services in Aboriginal communities were identified:

• Families and caregivers require better evening and weekend access to home and community care and better overall access to respite care.
• The formal system should be structured to support families in managing their care loads.
• Continuing care services should be designed to deliver care to all types of clients, including those with high-level care needs (e.g. long- and short-term facility-based care).
• Supportive housing could fill some of the gaps at lower levels of care.
• Funding issues need to be addressed to meet the increased demand for care and higher level care needs. Several factors would require consideration: case mix, culture and language requirements, community size and location.

The First Nations and Inuit home care issue will continue to be important. Considering the anticipated sharp rise in the number of elderly persons, policy-makers have a window of opportunity to develop the necessary programs before the crunch hits less than two decades from now.

**Those Suffering from Mental Illness**

Some provinces offer home care to persons with a mental illness recently discharged from hospital. But home care could play a larger role in helping persons with a mental illness. As evidenced by the Health Transition Fund’s Home-Based Program for Treatment of Acute Psychosis, home care reduced hospitalizations and hospital readmissions among those receiving home care services, although it achieved only modest savings. This suggests that patients can benefit when home care planning becomes part of hospital discharge planning. Home care can reduce dependence on hospitals for treatment, particularly among mentally ill persons who experience the revolving door syndrome (cycling in and out of hospital).

Three barriers currently stand in the way of a greater role for home care in mental health: changing the fee-for-service schedules for psychiatrists, which currently pay more for hospital inpatient visits than for office visits; changing the perception that the primary goal of home treatment is to save money, and finding experienced mental health workers to provide the required specialized care at home.

**Legislation that Addresses Home/Community Care on a Pan-Canadian Basis**

Legislation to deal with home care on a pan-Canadian basis does not exist and is much needed. Such legislation could provide a specific federal/provincial fiscal arrangement on home care that would allow the provinces and territories to integrate home care into the continuum of care but adapt it to suit their own particular needs. Legislation could also identify standards of care and mechanisms for accountability.

**Equitable Services Across Canada**

The 2004 Accord provided funding for two weeks of post-acute care home care, but as the Health Council of Canada recently pointed out, two weeks “is still too modest” and “progress in ensuring access to broad,
equitable home care services has been slow and piecemeal.\textsuperscript{69} Variations exist in many aspects of home care across the country: the application of co-payments and income testing; user fees; range of services; publicly funded services; residency requirements; and client payment of supplies, equipment and drugs used in home care.

There are also inequities between the services available in rural/remote locations and urban areas. Respondents to a survey undertaken by the CHCA on the delivery of home care services in rural and remote communities identified the following gaps: health human resources (lack of physicians, nurses, practitioners and home support personnel); difficulties related to travel, transportation and access; and limited local resources, resulting in unnecessary use of acute facilities.\textsuperscript{70}

Appendices 3 to 6 present information on the disparities throughout the country. Appendix 3 identifies the differences across Canada in terms of co-payments and income testing. Appendix 4 shows the services not offered through home care. Appendix 5 compares the differences in coverage of drugs, supplies and equipment. A recent comparison of provincial prescription drug plans and the impact on patients’ annual drug expenditures published in the \textit{Canadian Medical Association Journal} finds that considerable discrepancies exist across the country in publicly funded provincial drug plans, leading to great variations in costs to patients. It concludes that “although current provincial drug plans provide good protection for isolated groups, most Canadians still have unequal coverage for outpatient prescription drugs.”\textsuperscript{71} This would include many people receiving home care services or treatment. Finally, Appendix 6 highlights the different limits to home care provision among the provinces and territories. In terms of residency requirements for home care services, the differences are minor. British Columbia requires three months of continuous residency while other provinces and territories specify that home care applicants hold provincial health insurance coverage, or be in the application process.

\textbf{Information and Communications Technology, Electronic Health Records and Telehealth}

Research shows that information and communications technology (ICT) — electronic health records, telehealth, home telemonitoring devices, electronic reminders — is already making a big difference in the lives of home care clients and has the potential to play a greater role.

Today, ICT helps clients to:

- remain at home with greater peace of mind;
- self-monitor and manage their conditions; and
- make fewer visits to hospital.

It helps providers to:

- monitor and manage the conditions of patients with chronic disease and in post-acute care;
- increase the number of patients they can monitor, and thereby boost their productivity;
- access and exchange information more efficiently;
- decrease paperwork;
- collect data and evaluate it, and be alerted promptly when conditions change;
- reduce errors, duplication and administrative costs;
- obtain diagnostic results more quickly; and
- improve the quality and coordination of care.\textsuperscript{72}
Despite the promise and potential of ICT, a 2006 study by the U.S.-based Commonwealth Fund indicates that Canada falls behind other developed countries in its use. For example, only 23 percent of primary care physicians in this country have electronic medical record systems, compared to 98 percent in the Netherlands, 92 percent in New Zealand and 89 percent in the United Kingdom. Canada Health Infoway, the agency mandated to foster the development of electronic health information systems, aims to provide 50 percent of Canadians with an accessible electronic health record by 2010 but is finding this goal to be a challenge.

Telehealth, however, is beginning to gain momentum, and is proving to be a useful tool in facilitating home care. Changes are occurring quickly. At the time of writing of this brief, Canada’s telehealth system consists of the following services:

- In British Columbia, the BC NurseLine offers support to residents, including those providing palliative care, during the off-hours of 21:00 to 8:00. Since 2003, it also offers the support of a pharmacist.
- Alberta has introduced telehealth into home care, primarily to support chronic care patients.
- Saskatchewan currently is exploring its use for rural and remote care. In that province, telehealth is used for education, but not used in the delivery of home care.
- Telehealth (video technology and real-time monitoring) has been introduced in several regions of Manitoba primarily to support chronic care and palliative care patients, and for wound care.
- In Ontario, tele-homecare is being used as a clinical delivery tool in a few locations for palliative acute, chronic and rehabilitation care needs.
- Quebec has initiated pilot projects involving telehealth in home care.
- In New Brunswick, telehealth has been introduced for palliative care, acute care, chronic care and rehabilitation patients. The telehealth technology includes store and forward technology, video-technology and real time.
- Nova Scotia has not yet adopted telehome care but offers it on a limited trial basis.
- PEI has introduced telehealth into home care in one site.
- Newfoundland and Labrador has introduced telehealth to support Healthline Teletriage and has developed a Telehealth Strategic Plan in which its role in home care is a priority.
- Nunavut uses telehealth technology to provide case management services, for rehabilitation services to a certain extent, and for communication purposes among members of the health care team.
- In Yukon, telehealth has been introduced for home care to support discharge from hospital to community. Telehealth technology includes store-and-forward technology, video technology, and real time and web-based technologies. Canada Health Infoway is providing funds for enhanced telehealth, including modules in the home care program for direct linkages to the community for care planning and to support community providers. There are linkages to the University of Alberta and two rehabilitation centres for staff training and support.
- The Northwest Territories uses telehealth technology to a limited degree to support home care services, including acute care, chronic care, palliative care and rehabilitation. Telehealth technology includes store-and-forward technology, video technology, real time and web-based systems.
Case Management

Case management is an interdisciplinary, collaborative process that assesses, plans, implements and evaluates the services required to meet an individual’s health needs.

Commonly a nurse or social worker, the case manager is typically part of a multidisciplinary team and is responsible for using health resources in the most effective manner. Although many believe that case managers should be assigned only to the most complex patients, there is general consensus that the role of case manager is a critical one: case managers promote the best health interests of the client; are knowledgeable about community resources and how to access them; and ideally reduce the need for medical appointments and acute care interventions, including visits to emergency departments.

Case management is key to integrated care, especially with complex patients, enabling them to move seamlessly from the physician’s office, long-term care facility or hospital to home with appropriate care and follow-up. Case management is not without its challenges, however. There is need for greater communication and collaboration between case managers and providers, as well as a system to ensure that clients receive prescribed care.

An initiative of the Primary Health Care Transition Fund pioneered one way to overcome these challenges, thereby enhancing care and making more optimal use of health human resources. It paired case managers with family physicians, designating them as part of the health care team. This arrangement proved beneficial to all concerned: the physicians felt more supported and had a lighter workload; the case managers were better able to coordinate care; and the patients’ conditions improved. (See the section “The Primary Health Care Transition Fund.”) This arrangement is now being promoted as a national model.

The Quality End-of-Life Care Coalition of Canada has underscored the importance of 24/7 case management for palliative home care. In 2006, the Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association issued The Pan-Canadian Gold Standard for Palliative Home Care, and two years later the Coalition issued a progress report. It assessed the progress made by several jurisdictions (all provinces except Quebec, the three territories and Veterans Affairs Canada) in giving Canadians access to a range of palliative home care services (case management, nursing, personal care, pharmaceuticals). It noted that all jurisdictions had made significant progress. Yet only six (British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Ontario, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia) have policies on providing 24/7 nursing and personal care services and only four (Saskatchewan, Ontario, New Brunswick and Newfoundland and Labrador) have policies ensuring round-the-clock access to case management.

Targeting and Integrating Home Care with Other Parts of the System

To ensure that home care does not become an add-on component of health care, home care must be integrated with other parts of the system. Integrated care requires linkages, coordination (usually by a case manager) and access (the more seamless, the better). In caring for seniors and other vulnerable groups, there are degrees of integration. Excellent examples of integrated care can be found in Canada and abroad.
Marcus J. Hollander, a health researcher and acknowledged expert on home and community care, believes that Canada is a leader in developing models of integrated care. The following examples indicate the range of models that has developed in this country:

• The Veterans Independence Program, offered by Veterans Affairs Canada, provides long-term maintenance and preventive care to veterans, thereby allowing them to remain in their home as long as possible. (See the section “The Continuing Care Research Project.”)

• PRISMA (See the section “Grappling with Funding and Integrating Home Care.”)

• For higher needs care, Edmonton’s Comprehensive Home Option for Integrated Care for the Elderly (CHOICE) brings the people to care rather than vice versa. It provides transportation, an adult day centre, congregate dining, social activities, exercise activities, medication monitoring, health education and respite care.

• Système de soins Intégrés pour Personnes Âgées fragiles (SIPA) is a community-based system of care that assumes responsibility for the health outcomes, utilization and costs of services for the population of frail elderly persons in a specific catchment area. Providing the full range of social and health care services, SIPA integrates social and health care through case management, multidisciplinary teams, and care guidelines and protocols based on best practices.84

• Ontario’s Home at Last provides care from hospitals to home. It links hospitals, CCACs and community service agencies; expedites timely hospital discharge; aims to minimize readmission; provides supportive services (e.g. transportation, escort, medication, meals); and assists with future care planning.

• New Brunswick’s Extra-Mural Program. (See box “New Brunswick’s Extra-Mural Program: Lessons Learned,” in the conclusion of this brief.)

• Prince Edward Island’s Integrated Palliative Care Initiative was recognized by the Health Council of Canada in 2005 as a leading practice because of its collaborative practice among disciplines and care sites, coordinated entry to the programs and client- and family-focused approach, and for its use of a common palliative care assessment tool.85

Challenges to integrating home care include developing a coordinating mechanism; identifying and targeting those who need integrated care; ensuring smooth access; providing case management; creating coordinated provider networks; educating providers; and making a persuasive business case to policy-makers.86

Conclusions

Home care challenges and opportunities exist across the country. Clearly, there are differences and inequities, and the needs of some population groups and cohorts are better addressed than others. Therefore, it makes greatest sense to strategically target our efforts to those with unmet needs: people who need long-term home care, who are dying, who are children, or are First Nations and Inuit.

We are not short of solutions. Here is where we could begin:

• Optimize the skills of case managers. This would help ensure that clients obtain maximum benefit and would make the most effective use of the health system.

• Focus on palliative home care. There is a large discrepancy between the number
of people who prefer to die at home and the number who actually do so. We recognize that it is not always possible or appropriate for people to die at home, however, for those who can die with comfort at home, it should become the norm.

• Use technology. Given Canada’s large geography, aging population and shortage of health human resources, it is in our best interests to optimize technology.
Australia shares many of our home care challenges but is addressing them differently. It was chosen as a comparator because it is similar to Canada in many respects and faces many of the same challenges. Other countries, such as the United States and the European Union countries, have very different health systems; larger, more cohesive populations; or smaller geographical areas.

Like Canada, Australia is a developed nation with a large geography; a relatively small, far-flung population concentrated around the coast rather than the interior; an Aboriginal population; a parliamentary democratic government, with local, state/territorial and a national government; and a public health system that ranks among the top 12 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries.

Australia has a national home care system that has been in place since 1985. Prior to that year, each state offered home care services, but as is currently the case in Canada, they differed in scope and quality. Having a national home care system has not solved all of Australia’s home care problems. Discrepancies and disparities persist. As is the case here, more Australians require home care services than receive them. Concerns have been expressed about the cost of funding home care for young people with mental and physical disabilities, who, unlike frail elderly persons, can be expected to receive home care for decades.87

A 2005 Senate Committee report reviewing Australia’s care of the aged population noted the lack of integrated care across the continuum — and urged that this be addressed and that policy be built upon the best practices and successful initiatives of the various Australian states.88
Despite these problems, which Canada and many other countries share, Australians have been deliberate in developing their national home care system. They:

- focused on persons living in the community who need maintenance and support services to remain at home, such as persons with moderate, severe or profound disabilities;
- targeted five groups of people who have difficulty accessing services, including Aboriginal Australians, people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, people with dementia, people who live in rural or remote areas, and financially disadvantaged persons;
- clearly divided responsibilities between the national and state/territorial governments, identifying post-acute and palliative care as extensions of hospital services, and therefore as state responsibilities;
- encourage user fees but do not refuse services to those who cannot pay;
- developed an Aged Care Assessment Program that assessments people who either need to enter residential care or need high levels of support to remain at home;
- focus on strategic alliances between government and provider agencies. (Governments often work directly with public agencies to build capacity, focusing on consolidating and building more services using current providers in order to create larger home care organizations that are managed efficiently and can benefit from economies of scale.);
- offer educational, moral and financial support plus respite relief to caregivers. (See the section “How We Compare Internationally in Our Support for Carers.”);
- have avoided a public/private debate concerning the delivery of services;
- focus on planning, establishing three-year plans with the states and territories, taking into account the unique features of the population to be served in each region of the country and the opinions of key stakeholders in the region/state;
- jointly set targets for service provision;
- collect data on who receives services and on the nature and extent of services received, and use this information for planning purposes. (There is a minimum data set, to which all agencies are expected to contribute information and for which they receive help to do so properly.);
- base policy decisions on evidence of what works; and
- have never lost sight of a national home care system, while acknowledging state, territorial and regional differences. The Australians’ aim is to achieve equity in the provision of services across the country by 2011.89

Australia has also developed innovative programs to support those who need care and their caregivers.90 These include:

- Community Aged Care Packages (started in 1992), which promote aging in the home rather than in a facility;
- Extended Aged Care at Home (EACH) packages, which were introduced by the Australian government in 2002 after a pilot program showed that it was feasible and cost-effective to provide high-level nursing home care to some individuals with very high-level care needs in their own homes;
- National Continence Management Strategy, funded from 1998 to 2005, to improve continence treatment and management; and
- Assistance with Care and Housing for the Aged, which connects vulnerable older people — many of whom live in inner
cities and have addictions and mental health problems and some of whom live on the street — to public housing and home care.

In addition, Australia has a Commonwealth States & Territories Disability Agreement to provide a range of services to young disabled persons that are not provided through the national home care system.

What Can Canada Learn from Australia?

The Australian health system has evolved differently from Canada’s, where the national government has assumed more responsibility for non–acute home care services. Nonetheless, in moving forward on home care policy, it would be advantageous for Canada to assess the home care experiences down under. In Australia, both levels of government have acknowledged the importance of home care — and caregivers — to the health system and to Australians. They have agreed on the services that will come under the responsibility of each level of government, and have targeted populations at risk of not receiving adequate home care services. Beyond this, Australia has set an ambitious target for providing equal home care access to all. While Australia’s system is not perfect, its policy-makers at the national and state levels continue to join forces to address — and attempt to overcome — home care challenges.
Australia has resolved to base its home care policies on the best evidence available. Canada has a considerable amount of information about home care — based on our own experience and that of other countries — but have we taken the next step of developing policy based on the research findings?

Two decades ago, our knowledge of home care was not extensive. Some studies emanating from the United States suggested that home care was not cost-effective, and the Hospital and Home Care Study undertaken in Saskatchewan in 1998 by the Health Services Utilization and Research Commission (HSURC) found that “seniors receiving preventive home care are more likely to die or lose their independence than seniors not receiving this service.” This finding flew in the face of the prevailing belief that home care is good quality care. It also contrasted with the findings of a study by Hollander, which demonstrated that preventive home care was indeed cost-effective.

Hollander conducted a study of a natural experiment which occurred in British Columbia in the 1994 to 1995 period in which some health regions cut people from care who were at the lowest level of care need and were only receiving housecleaning services, and some regions did not make such cuts. He studied the overall costs to the health care system of people who were cut from service in two health regions to people who were not cut from service in two similar regions. In the year before the cuts the average annual cost per client for those who were cut from service was $5,052 and the cost per client for the comparison group was $4,535. For the third year after the cuts were made the comparative costs were $11,903 and $7,808, respectively, for a net difference of some $3,500. Thus, on average, the people who were cut from care cost the
health care system some $3,500 more in the third year after the cuts than people who were not cut from service.94

It was evident that more research on home care was needed. We needed answers on many fronts: the quality of home care; its cost-effectiveness; under what circumstances, if any, it can best substitute for acute care; when it can best substitute for long-term care; and its effectiveness and cost-efficiency as a form of maintenance and prevention.

Enter the Health Transition Fund.

**Health Transition Fund**

Much of the information we have gleaned about home care comes from the Health Transition Fund (1997–2001), a joint effort among federal, provincial and territorial governments created in the 1997 federal budget. The Fund was intended to encourage and support evidence-based decision making in health care reform, and it sought to “test and evaluate new, better and more cost-effective ways of delivering health care services to Canadians.”95 The Fund sponsored no less than 45 initiatives related to home care, which was identified as one of four priority areas. (The other three were pharmaceutical issues, primary health care and integrated service delivery.) These are some of the questions the home care initiatives sought to answer:

- Is home care quality care?
- Is it cost-effective, and if so, under what circumstances?
- Can greater access to it be achieved by underserved populations such as Aboriginal populations and mental health, dementia and palliative care patients?
- Can it adequately and cost-effectively serve the care needs of persons suffering from cancer, diabetes and heart failure?
- How can we best develop a comprehensive, standardized set of indicators about home care that will make possible comparisons of home care clients, programs and outcomes in various regions across Canada?

One of the initiatives, *The National Evaluation of the Cost-Effectiveness of Home Care*, consisted of 15 interrelated sub-studies that attempted to assess the differences in costs and quality between home care and various forms of institutional care. Six of the 15 sub-studies evaluated the cost-effectiveness of home care compared with residential long-term care, while the other nine evaluated the cost-effectiveness of home care as an alternative to acute care in institutions. Researchers examined the cost-effectiveness of specific services, such as home-based intravenous therapy and home care for low birth weight infants.

**What the Health Transition Fund Initiatives Found**

*Home Care as an Alternative to Residential Care. Sub-study 1, A Comparative Cost Analysis of Home Care and Residential Care Services,* which was carried out in British Columbia, found that savings of at least 50 percent could be obtained if home care replaced residential care for elderly clients who were stable in their type and level of care.

Other sub-studies found that home care is indeed less expensive, and not just because of the less expensive (or free) informal caregiver — although that is a contributing factor. Ostry points out that the cost savings
are due to three other factors. First, when acute care is delivered in the home rather than in the hospital, nurses are replaced by less expensive home-based workers. Second, the services can be rationed in the home setting. Third, in the home, various private fees can be charged for supplies and drugs.96

**Home Care as an Alternative to Acute Care.** One initiative, Carelinks, which took place in the Simon Fraser Health Region in British Columbia, examined what happened when the region closed 30 beds and diverted some of the resultant savings to home care. The result: the region saved $2 million, reinvested $1 million into home care, and thus saved $1 million annually, as long as the beds remain closed, with no detrimental effects experienced by patients.

In other initiatives, results were mixed. “A number of studies have been conducted to determine the cost and effectiveness of home care in connection with acute care hospitalization. Recent reviews of the literature have concluded that the results are mixed, with home care plus hospitalization being less costly than hospitalization alone in some diagnoses, but more costly in others.”97

Three years of Alberta data were used in the Costs of Acute Care and Home Care Services, Sub-study 9, which found that in high-volume home care cases, the costs of hospital patients were higher for those who used home care than for those who did not.

Yet another initiative comparing the provision of intravenous therapy for cellulitis patients at home and in hospital found that acute care services could be provided more cost-effectively at home, with fewer complications and better outcomes. Also, Evaluation of the Cost-Effectiveness of the Quick Response Program of Saskatoon District found that a community-based Quick Response Program could effectively redirect patients away from the emergency department to their home.

**The Use of Geriatric Day Hospitals and Their Cost-Effectiveness.** Sub-study 10, Economic Evaluation of a Geriatric Day Hospital: Cost-Benefit Analysis Based on Functional Autonomy Changes, found that for each dollar invested in care, $2.14 of benefits were derived through improvement in functional status (a means of measuring the ability to perform daily tasks). Beyond the cost benefit to the system, clients reported improved cognitive function, greater opportunity for socialization, and increased feelings of well-being.

**Home Care and Mental Health.** The Home-Based Program for Treatment of Acute Psychosis reduced hospitalizations and hospital readmissions among those receiving home care services, although it achieved only modest savings.

**Home Care for Home Care Clients Who Died.** Overall, the 15-part study, The National Evaluation of the Cost-effectiveness of Home Care, found that costs were higher for clients who died while receiving home care than for those who died in facility-based care.

**What Have We Learned from the Many Health Transition Fund Initiatives?**

- Home care can provide quality care, but it is not appropriate for all patients/clients.
- Home care services may be a cost-effective substitute for facility-based long-term care, particularly for certain types of client care. According to these initiatives, overall, publicly funded health care costs represented between 50 and
Many initiatives had a partial home care focus—often as part of a strategy to improve chronic disease management, sometimes through telemonitoring and telehealth. One initiative had a primary focus on home care: the National Partnership Project. This initiative took place in Calgary and Halton/Peel, and involved partnering a home care case manager with a family physician team to assess patients’ needs. Almost 1,000 patients were included. This proved to be a beneficial arrangement for all concerned: physicians felt more supported and found it easier to work with one case manager rather than several; both the case manager and physician worked side by side and developed greater respect for each other’s roles; and patients were better able to control their conditions and their health status generally improved.

Saskatchewan Home Care Review

The Saskatchewan Home Care Review, undertaken by Hollander at the request of that government, identified further information on the benefits of home care. The key findings from this 2006 report were positive:

...there seems to be a small, but reasonable, body of evidence to indicate that it may, in fact, be cost-effective to provide more basic (i.e., preventive and maintenance) home support services as a means of delaying institutionalization both for people with lower level care needs, and as a substitute for residential care services for people with higher levels of need for services. In addition, there seems to be some evidence to indicate that home care can function as a cost-effective alternative to residential care.

Primary Health Care Transition Fund

The six-year $800-million Primary Health Care Transition Fund was created in 2000 to support provinces and territories and other stakeholders to develop and implement transitional primary health care renewal initiatives. This initiative had five objectives:

• to increase the proportion of the population that has access to primary health care organizations;
• to increase emphasis on health promotion, disease and injury prevention, and chronic disease management;
• to expand 24/7 access to essential services;
• to establish interdisciplinary primary health care teams of providers; and
• to facilitate coordination and integration with other health services.
Another relevant finding was that there is some evidence to indicate that home care can indeed perform a substitution function for hospital services, through early discharge, with well-designed programs. In addition, there is a growing body of evidence to indicate that there are a wide range of programs which can be put into place to reduce hospital admissions and/or readmissions....

... it appears it may be possible to think of home care not only as an important program in its own right, but also, as a key vehicle for increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of the broader health care system.99

This report, along with those of the Health Transition Fund initiatives, constituted one more building block in the body of evidence in favour of a larger role for home care in the health system.

**The Balance of Care Project in Ontario**

The Balance of Care project takes its name from a methodology pioneered by Dr. David Challis and his colleagues at the University of Manchester in the United Kingdom. It is used to determine the most appropriate mix of institutional and community resources at the local level to meet the needs of an aging population. The Balance of Care method has six steps:

1. **Identify “at risk” seniors** — those currently occupying or deemed eligible for a long-term care bed.
2. **Use assessment data to classify these at-risk seniors** into multiple, relatively homogeneous groups (such as gender; need for help in performing activities of daily living and degree of help needed; presence and level of confusion; and presence of a carer).
3. **Determine how many of the at-risk seniors fall into each group.**
4. **Select groups with more than 2.5 percent of the at-risk seniors and create a typical vignette for each group based on a real case.**
5. **Have case managers (or expert panels) review case vignettes, construct appropriate care packages and estimate the costs of these packages.**
6. **Determine which groups of at-risk seniors (and how many individuals in total) could be maintained in the community with less or comparable costs to the system (using facility care as a comparative base), and better or comparable outcomes for seniors and their carers.**100

The researchers have been communicating the findings as the projects are completed. They suggest that deficiencies in performing instrumental activities of daily living (e.g. meal preparation, housekeeping, transportation, medication management) trigger placement on the wait list for long-term facility care. So far, the team has found that integrated community-based packages would be more cost-effective than long-term facility care placement for a significant proportion of seniors. The potential diversion rate varies between 37 and 53 percent, largely as a function of local differences in the use and availability of community services.
The Balance of Care team has reached the conclusion that home and community care can play a key role in two ways: maintaining the health, well-being and autonomy of individuals and caregivers; and moderating demand for costly acute and institutional care provided that home and community care is targeted, managed and integrated into the broader continuum of care. They note that supportive housing and “cluster care” (personal care services offered to a group of clients who live near each other) may allow for the more cost-effective use of resources.

In Ontario, the LHINs have been tasked with allocating resources among hospitals, long-term care, and home and community care, and with ensuring flexible and efficient delivery; therefore, this home-based information should be most useful to them.

The Continuing Care Research Project

The Continuing Care Research Project, undertaken by Hollander for Veterans Affairs Canada and the Government of Ontario, released its report in October 2008. The project included two studies. Study 1 involved an independent evaluation of the costs and outcomes of the Overseas Veterans and Veterans Independence Program initiative that was conducted in Halifax, Ottawa and Victoria. Study 2 examined the relative costs and outcomes of long-term home care, supportive housing and long-term facility care; it took place in Toronto and in the Peel, York and Durham areas.

Programs offered by Veterans Affairs Canada allow veterans to remain in their home as long as possible. They appear to be the only major ones still offered in this country that provide long-term maintenance and preventive care in the home.

The goals of the project were to obtain information on the relative success of the Overseas Veterans and Veterans Independence Program initiatives; to determine the cost-effectiveness of long-term home care, supportive housing and facility care; to examine the contributions of long-term home care and home support services with respect to the care of elderly persons; and to obtain information that could be used to contribute to the broader policy debate in Canada about health services for the elderly population.101

Both studies found that satisfaction levels were highest for home care clients, followed by clients in supportive housing and clients in facility care. Satisfaction levels across all three types of care were high overall for both clients and caregivers, yet home care costs were considerably less than those for facility care, while maintaining equivalent or better outcomes.

Recently, governments have tended to regard home care as post-acute medical care. But findings of this research project indicate that long-term care home services are valuable and cost-effective in that they either postpone people’s need for, or keep people out of, facilities and hospitals. The findings, along with those of other research projects conducted over the past decade, also point to the fact that it is time for long-term preventive and maintenance care home services to be back on the health policy agenda. Lastly, the project highlights the importance of the informal caregiver and the need to provide caregiver supports.

A 2008 study by Professor Markle-Reid and colleagues from McMaster University supports the assertion that modest amounts of home support services may reduce hospital services and long-term facility care.102
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long-term home care and home support services, facility-based long-term care and supportive housing. Within such a system, substitutions of lower cost care for higher cost care can be made.

Writes Hollander:

There is now a growing body of evidence that home care for persons with ongoing care needs can be a cost-effective intervention and can reduce demands on the institutional sector, thus increasing the overall efficiency of our health care system. In addition, there is also growing evidence that home support services are a central component of chronic home care services.

... the Canadian evidence to date is sufficiently robust that the burden of proof now falls to those who would argue that chronic, long-term home care is not cost-effective.\(^{103}\)

What Conclusions Can We Draw from the Research?

We can draw four major conclusions from this substantial body of research.

1. We have not fully utilized the evidence derived from government-sponsored studies in developing home care policy.
2. Long-term home care, for maintenance and prevention, particularly for those with disabilities and multiple chronic diseases, should be placed higher on the policy agenda.
3. Informal caregivers play a critical role in allowing people to remain in their community and maximize their independence. Support programs for these individuals could include greater financial support, recognition and more opportunities for respite care or day-away programs.
4. Home care is a vital part of the continuum of care. Its potential can best be realized within a broader, integrated system of continuing care that includes
The evidence on home care effectiveness and desirability is available to policy-makers. What is preventing us from moving forward?

Canadians voice four concerns regarding the expansion of home care services: the cost of home care services; the fear of raising taxes to pay for them; the fear of applying co-payments or user fees because of uncertainty as to whether it is ethical to do so; and indecision about the services to which they should be applied. As a result, we have not fully addressed the issue of home care head-on.

England, on the other hand, is tackling the issue. (See box “Power to the People.”) Securing Good Care for Older People, a 2006 study by Sir Derek Wanless for the King’s Fund, a health and social care think-tank, expressly examined the home care funding question with a view to influencing long-term government spending to ensure good social care for the growing elderly population. The report is relevant to Canadians because it lays out a range of funding options and links the options to specific objectives: whether it is levelling the playing field to ensure equal access or improving access for certain populations.

The English Example

The population of England is aging. In the next 20 years, the number of people aged 85 and over in England will increase by two-thirds, compared with a growth of 10 per cent in the population as a whole.
Like all other developed countries, England is determined to provide quality home care to its aging population, and it is attempting to come up with a funding solution that not only is fair but also best meets the mounting need for publicly financed home care.

There are many ways to fund home care. Wanless considered five alternatives, which exist in other countries—and which all outranked the means test method that England currently uses. The alternatives are as follows:

1. Providing some form of universal entitlement to social care that is state-supported and not means-tested (e.g. free personal care), as currently exists in Scotland.
2. A social insurance model in which the state acts as an insurer and provides a package of care for people enrolled in the scheme, should they need care.
3. A partnership between state and individual where costs of care are shared for those needing care.
4. A limited liability model, which caps an individual’s liability for social care costs, either after a certain period or after they have made a specified financial outlay.
5. Savings-based models, which are often linked to pensions, where the state contributes to an earmarked savings pot that the individual can use to pay for care.106

The Wanless Review favoured a partnership model, followed by free personal care, and then a limited liability model. It noted that they all differ in important ways:

1. The partnership model provides people with a free-of-charge minimum guaranteed amount of care. This is set in the model at 66 per cent of the total...
benchmark care package but could be varied either up or down. Individuals could then make contributions matched by the state (up to a limit): in the model, every pound that people contribute is matched by a pound from the state until the benchmark care package is achieved (thereafter, extra private contributions are not matched). Those on low incomes would be supported in making additional contributions through the benefits system.

2. **Free personal care** provides a full package of personal care without charge.

3. A **limited liability** model is a hybrid, effectively a means-tested system for the first three or four years of care and then free personal care thereafter.  

The report noted that no model was significantly better than the rest; they all have strengths and weaknesses. The choice of model depends largely on what one is trying to accomplish. Wanless expanded, “if protecting the poorest is paramount even if this means disadvantaging others, then systems with strong means-testing would score highly. If improving access to services for all people is paramount, then funding arrangements with universal entitlement approaches would rate well.”

Deciding on funding models for home care is difficult — and there are always trade-offs. Policy-makers must weigh the advantages and the disadvantages of the funding models in light of what they wish to accomplish and can afford.

One successful home care model in Quebec, PRISMA, has achieved great success without incurring extra costs.

Over the next few years, the SMILE program will be measured against agreed-upon indicators such as a reduced number of admissions to hospital and referrals to alternate levels of care. The United Kingdom experiment with the individual home care budget will also be closely monitored as policy-makers find out how direct payments may affect service quality and delivery costs.

### Footnotes and Sources:
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**PRISMA: High Value at No Extra Cost**

*The Program of Research to Integrate Services for the Maintenance of Autonomy* (PRISMA) is a Canadian model of integrated service delivery. Its aim is to improve the continuity of care and integrate services for frail older people and to provide them with the right balance of care for their needs in order to maximize their autonomy.

PRISMA is a multidisciplinary partnership between two academic research teams (Research Centre on Aging in Sherbrooke and Laval University’s Geriatric Research Team in Quebec City) and several health organizations, the Ministry of Health and Social Services, and five regional health and social services boards. This partnership of researchers, policy-makers, managers and
Savings should be realized if home care is substituted appropriately (as happened in British Columbia, which proactively substituted home-based services for facility-based ones in the late 1980s to mid-1990s). It might take several years for these savings to be achieved, however. A body of research confirms the cost-effectiveness of home care, and this should help to give policy-makers the confidence to move forward. Confidence should also be bolstered by the fact that there are high costs associated with not moving forward on home care.

The PRISMA model of integrated service delivery is tailored to the publicly funded health care system. The model is unique in that it includes all public, private and volunteer organizations that provide care and services to frail seniors. Each organization keeps its own structure but agrees to participate in an umbrella system and to adapt its operations and resources to agreed-upon requirements. This model needs neither new infrastructure nor new financing mechanisms. Unlike other models, PRISMA is not run in parallel with the health care system but is embedded within it.

The key elements of PRISMA are coordination between decision makers; a single entry point; case management; individualized service plans; a single, common assessment tool; and a computerized clinical record, which all caregivers can access.¹⁰⁹

The success of PRISMA is evident first and most importantly in the success of its clients.

- Significantly fewer experienced functional declines, and this was true over both 12 months and 24 months.
- Their desire to move to a long-term care facility was also markedly reduced.
- Caregivers of PRISMA clients indicated that their burden was lightened.
- The number of visits to emergency departments was also lowered.¹¹⁰

Also, it has been adopted with success in many regions of Quebec and is highly regarded throughout Canada.

PRISMA supports Hollander’s conclusions that expanding home care services need not be as expensive as some policy-makers fear.
Informal caregivers are...silent victims in a silent system....they have inherited unfair burden and responsibility without enough support...

— Canada’s Association for the Fifty-Plus (CARP)¹¹¹

The Givers of Care

Who Is Giving Home Care?

There are two elements to the home care relationship: the client/patient and the caregiver. Some caregivers are paid (formal), others are not (informal/family caregivers). The former can be regulated or unregulated — and interestingly, they can also be firefighters. (See box “Firefighters: The New Providers of Home Care.”)

Formal Caregivers

We know less about formal caregivers than informal caregivers. The 2003 Canadian Home Care Human Resources Study undertook an analysis to identify all home care provider organizations across Canada and the number of paid care providers within those organizations. Based on estimates from CIHI and Statistics Canada’s Labour Force Surveys, the data are quantifiable but incomplete, and they may be duplicative (for example, social workers or nurses may be working in two settings). The study places the number of home support workers in 2001 at between 16,700 and 57,300. In comparison, the number of registered nurses in the home care sector for that year stands at 9,700 and licensed practical nurses at 2,400.¹¹² There are no reliable statistics for case managers, occupational, respiratory and speech therapists, dietitians, physicians and psychologists.¹¹³

Ontario has broken down home care visits in 2005 by profession, and the results give us insight into the nature of home care and the givers of care.

¹¹¹ Canada’s Association for the Fifty-Plus (CARP)
¹¹² Home Care in Canada: From the Margins to the Mainstream
¹¹³ Home Care in Canada: From the Margins to the Mainstream
Unregulated home support workers (personal support workers, home care aides, health care aides, personal care workers and attendants) play an active role in home care. Across the country, these unregulated health care workers deliver the bulk (70 to 80 percent) of home and community care services.114 (As can be seen from Chart 2, they delivered 67 per cent of services in Ontario in 2005.) They generally assist clients with bathing, dressing and other activities of daily living. These workers are predominantly female and are often new Canadians. Their training and language levels may or may not be adequate for the tasks they face in providing home or other forms of care, and this raises concerns for patient safety. The Canadian Nurses Association, in partnership with other professional associations, has organized a series of regional roundtables culminating in a national conference in March 2009 to discuss the roles of these critical health workers in the system.

Concerns have also been raised about the safety of the personal support workers and the labour conditions under which they work. Health and safety concerns include back strain due to physical lifting and transferring of clients; stress, fatigue and burnout; emotional costs of working with palliative, terminally ill persons and clients with dementia or Alzheimer’s disease; and fear of infection, isolation, safety hazards in the home and physical abuse (of workers) by clients and family.

The compensation levels of those providing home support services are generally lower than in other health care settings. At the Ontario Health Coalition’s Home Care Hearings in 2008, personal support workers’ chief complaints were job security, low wages, poor or no benefits, and lack of sufficient compensation for travel time and mileage.115 The lack of guaranteed hours and the high
costs of formal training, especially in private schools, ranked as other deterrents. Despite these negative aspects, the workers emphasized how much they love their work.

Those who provide home care cite some advantages. Professionals are able to work more independently and often to the full scope of practice, and both professionals and non-professionals derive satisfaction from providing care on a personal basis. However, formal caregivers often lack team support from other health professionals and colleagues, work in isolation and in a home setting that is often unpredictable, and endure considerable physical strain.

Not surprisingly, human resources shortages in the home care sector are chronic and well reported. Both recruitment and retention are problems.

**Differences Across the Country**

Home care is delivered by public, private not-for-profit and private for-profit agencies in Canada. Differences exist among provinces and territories in the delivery of home care. In some regions, home care workers are public employees (Saskatchewan, Yukon, the Northwest Territories and Nunavut), while in others a mixture exists. Ontario differs in that none of its home care providers are public employees. (See box “Ontario’s Competitive Bidding Model and Its Implications for Formal Home Care Providers.”)

The issue of competitive bidding also raises the question of the advantages and disadvantages of public, for-profit and not-for-profit delivery of services. Opinions differ as to whether one is preferable to the others in terms of recruiting and retaining home care providers. The balance favours public delivery.

In 2007, a decision by the Hamilton Niagara Halimand Brant Community Care Access Centre to “disqualify” two non-profit providers — St. Joseph’s Health Centre and the Victorian Order of Nurses — following a competitive bidding process provoked public outrage. Together, the two providers had almost two centuries of service in the Hamilton community. Sparked by the outcry, the Minister of Health and Long-Term Care ordered a review of the bidding process in January 2008. This was the second time the Ontario government had imposed a province-wide moratorium on competitive bidding in home care (the first was between 2004 and 2007). The moratorium was quietly removed in December 2008.

**Health Human Resources Challenges in Home Care**

The home care sector suffers from human resources shortages. Findings from a 2007 case study of 835 Ontario home care workers indicated that a competitive bidding approach may decrease levels of job satisfaction and lead to higher attrition levels among home care workers. When the employer loses a contract, providers lose their job, may be rehired by the new provider, or may choose to leave the home care sector for more stable employment.

The 2005 report *Satisfied Workers, Retained Workers, Effects of Work and Work Environment on Homecare Workers’ Job Satisfaction, Stress, Physical Health, and*
Retention “shows that there are differences in working conditions between non-profit and for-profit agencies in terms of pay, benefits, and continuity of hours...”

The 2003 Canadian Home Care Human Resources Study reported the following:

- those working for government or regional health authorities received the highest rate of pay;
- registered nurses and registered practical nurses typically received higher rates of pay in not-for-profit agencies;
- physiotherapists, occupational therapists, social workers and home support workers received higher rates in for-profit agencies;
- tenure was longest for government or regional health authority employees and for unionized employees;
- full-time workers stayed longest, casual workers the shortest amount of time; and
- there was greater turnover in for-profit organizations than in public or not-for-profit organizations.

The 2008 Report of the Ontario Health Coalition’s Home Care Hearings, Home Care: Change We Need, points out that for-profit organizations have few options for making a profit in home care, and can usually do so through six possible avenues:

- selecting easier-to-serve clients;
- avoiding markets with low volumes or other high-service costs, such as travel to rural and remote areas;
- paying lower wages to workers, usually achieved through keeping unions out;
- offering only part-time work, thereby avoiding payment of benefits to workers;
- using lower-skilled workers; and
- not providing training for workers.

The authors underscore that, in home care, “where the majority of expenses are labour costs, lowering costs is usually at the expense of workers and outcomes for clients.”

Given that the home care sector requires a stable and sufficient number of staff — both regulated and unregulated — it is imperative to address the human resources challenges facing the sector. The authors of the report Satisfied Workers, Retained Workers make the following suggestions:

Sufficient government funding to provide services, avoiding continuous changes in the work environment, and making rational restructuring decisions based on input from all stakeholders can contribute to healthier workplaces and healthy workers. In addition, providing resources in homecare to provide more permanent jobs with wages and benefits that match the acute and long-term care system will help to improve retention and recruitment in the homecare sector.

Some provinces are moving away from this discussion, instead focusing on integrated approaches to human resources and
meeting the challenges of compensation differences between the acute care sector and home care. They are also trying to address the need for more education and skill development for home care workers due to the increasing complexity of home care clients.

Informal Caregivers

The home care system could not exist without the large volunteer workforce that supports it. How large is this workforce? The 2007 Health Care in Canada Survey (Pollara) indicates that 23 percent of Canadians had provided care for a family or friend with a serious health problem within the past year,125 while the Health Council of Canada says the proportion is 26 percent.126 Informal caregivers are the backbone of the health and long-term care system in Canada.

Although previous studies and surveys have indicated that the burden of care has fallen mostly on the shoulders of females, the Pollara survey indicates otherwise. Its findings point to a more equal division between males and females. Pollara notes that, in 2007, 21 percent of men and 26 percent of women had personally cared for a family member or friend with a serious health problem in the past 12 months.127

Research undertaken on First Nations and Inuit caregivers indicates that they have been and are still providing a great deal of care each week. About one in three caregivers supports two or more persons needing care.128

The Effects of Caregiving on Caregivers

The increased demand for the provision of care in the home is exacting an economic and emotional toll on Canadians caregivers. Evidence is also mounting that the health of caregivers, particularly those caring for loved ones with dementia, is suffering as a result of the burden they bear.129
Canadian Healthcare Association also estimate the aggregate economic value of this work to be $5.1 to $5.7 billion. Clearly, this is an enormous contribution.

Although society benefits through family caregiving, also it comes at a cost. Caregiving costs the economy in terms of lost productivity, job vacancies and increased training requirements when employees must be replaced. As the labour market continues to tighten, this issue will become increasingly critical.

A major study on wait times recognizes that caregivers who remain in the workforce are paying a price supporting loved ones awaiting medical appointments and procedures, and that this in turn has an adverse effect on the Canadian economy. The study measured caregiver costs attributed to “reduced economic activity as a result of caregivers giving up work to care for family members or relatives...the direct loss in production from these people no longer producing goods and services as well as the broader reduction in economic activity resulting from reduced incomes and lower spending.”

Its findings include:

- For total joint replacement, caregiver costs exceed the costs generated by the patients (due to the number of patients who require the assistance of a caregiver and the relative youth of the caregivers increasing the likelihood that they had to withdraw from the labour force).
- The distribution of costs between patient and caregiver is nearly equal for cataract surgery (the proportion of patients requiring a caregiver is close to the proportion of patients who need to discontinue their regular activities, although 66 percent of these caregivers are more than 65 years old).
• For coronary artery bypass graft surgery, the high proportion of patients who must discontinue their regular activities leads to patient costs exceeding caregiver costs by a margin of nearly 3 to 1. The fact that nearly half the caregivers for these patients are over age 65 reduces the level of caregiver costs.135

Caregiving affects not just the financial status of caregivers but also their emotional and physical health. A project undertaken by the Research on Aging Policies and Practice (RAPP) at the University of Alberta examined the effects of caregiving on caregivers. They found that the cost is exacted somewhat differently on males and females. The researchers used Statistics Canada’s 2002 General Social Survey on aging and social support to draw a sub-sample of people aged 45 and over who had provided assistance in the last year to an adult aged 65 or older with long-term health problems. Though the numbers do not reflect the full scope of caregiving in Canada, RAPP’s summary of findings highlights some key differences between male and female caregivers:136

• Male and female caregivers were similar in age, with nearly half aged 59 to 74, although slightly more women than men were 75 years of age or older. Caregivers mirrored the general population regarding place of residence, with three-quarters living in urban areas and one-quarter in rural.
• More men than women caregivers were married, had children under 15, and were employed full time.
• More women than men caregivers worked part time or were not employed, which the researchers suggest might be due to their caregiving demands.
• Caring responsibilities differed slightly between men and women caregivers, with more men having cared for two years or more, for two or more persons, and for a parent (in-law) or non-kin.
• More women than men reported negative physical, social and emotional consequences of caring. Women were more likely to report that their physical health was affected, that their sleeping patterns had changed, that they felt stressed because of their care responsibilities, and that they had changed their social activities and holiday plans. More women than men reported feeling burdened, angry, wished others would take over their caregiving duties or help them more. That said, both reported equally the positive benefits of providing care.
• Caregiving affected employment, especially for women. More women (one in seven) than men (one in ten) caregivers reduced their hours of work or changed their work patterns, and thereby reduced their incomes.
• More than one-third of all caregivers, regardless of gender, incurred extra out-of-pocket expenses.
• More women caregivers incurred economic costs. Nearly one-and-a-half times more women than men reported reduced income (9.8 percent female versus 6.6 percent male).

The differences between the RAPP survey — which indicates that 2 percent of female caregivers and a negligible number of male caregivers left their jobs to provide care — and the Pollara survey — which indicates that 10 percent of caregivers left their job — might be attributable to these factors:

• The RAPP study is based on 2002 data and the Pollara on 2007 data;
• The samples are different: between 2002 and 2007, the Canadian population aged and the number of persons requiring care increased, and
the caregivers, who are also older, are less willing or able to manage both their caregiving and work duties.

Statistics Canada’s results of the 2007 General Social Survey on Caregiving indicate that, between 2002 and 2007:

• the number of caregivers increased, from 2 million to 2.7 million;
• the proportion of female caregivers increased by 4 percent to 22 percent; the proportion of male caregivers remained the same, at 19 percent;
• nearly 70 percent of care was provided by close family members;
• nearly 40 percent of female caregivers and less than 20 percent of male caregivers provided personal care, which includes bathing and dressing;
• approximately 60 percent of women and 30 percent of their male counterparts performed regular tasks inside the house, such as meal preparation, cleaning or laundry;
• male caregivers were more likely to provide assistance with tasks outside the house, such as house maintenance or outdoor work, but the women who did were more likely than men to do so at least once a week;
• both genders (8 out of 10) assisted with transportation;
• caregivers were less likely to take on medically related tasks than other types of tasks. Of those who did, women (25 percent) were more likely to do so than men (16 percent);
• women (42 percent) were more likely to assist with care management (scheduling appointments) than men (33 percent);
• the majority of caregivers said they were coping with their caregiving responsibilities. More than half said they were coping very well; more than 40 percent were managing fairly well; less than 5 percent indicated that they were doing not very well or not well at all.137

The survey also revealed these statistics:

• In 2007, nearly 43 percent of caregivers were between the ages of 45 and 54, a time of life when many Canadians still have children living at home. About three in four caregivers were married or living common-law. More than half of the caregivers (57 percent) were employed.
• In 2007, family and friends between the ages of 45 and 64 years had been providing care for an average of 5.4 years. Caregivers aged 65+ had given assistance for an average of 6.5 years. Approximately 10 percent of all caregivers aged 45 and over had been providing care for at least 13 years; the majority of these were married women, and half of them were employed.

Statistics Canada’s results of the 2007 General Social Survey on Caregiving are limited in that they reflect only data about caregivers between the ages of 45 and 64. The 2007 survey affirms and elaborates upon the findings of the earlier surveys that caregiving is common, that females are more affected and provide a deeper level of care, and that caregivers have responsibilities beyond providing care. The most interesting difference between the 2007 survey and the earlier ones is that the majority of caregivers in the 2007 one indicate that they are coping quite well, perhaps because of the relatively youthful age group surveyed and the exclusion of the majority of caregivers — those aged 65 and over.
How Are We Recognizing the Contribution of the Caregiver?

Those caregivers who provide care to a dependant in their home — a person who is dependent due to physical or mental disability or because of their advanced age and who has an income of less than $17,000 — can claim a deduction of $4,019 on their 2007 federal income tax form. The federal government also offers tax relief in the form of the medical expense tax credit, the disability tax credit, and the attendant care expenses deduction. Certain caregivers may also be eligible for the Compassionate Care Benefit. Janet Fast, a professor in the Department of Human Ecology at the University of Alberta, notes that, “while collectively these tax credits may amount to as much as $10,000, few caregivers are, in fact, eligible for anywhere near this amount. As a general principle, the credits are least useful to caregivers who most need financial assistance.”

The Compassionate Care Benefit

This benefit was the federal government’s response to public pressure seeking recognition and economic support for informal caregivers. Data indicate that the unpaid care provided by family and friends would cost the country’s health system approximately $6 billion annually if delivered by a paid workforce.

The Compassionate Care Benefit was introduced in January 2004. It offers eligible caregivers leave of up to eight weeks and financial help that amounts to 58 per cent of a caregiver’s salary for a maximum of six weeks during that time. It is provided through the Employment Insurance system for those care providers who are already in the workforce and are eligible for unemployment insurance. As originally introduced, the benefit was limited in that it was intended only for caregivers who would be providing care or support for a family member (spouse, child, parent or common-law partner) with a significant risk of death within six months. In June 2006, the government redefined “family” so that any person designated by the dying person as family, such as family members, friends or neighbours, could receive the benefit.

Is the Compassionate Care Benefit Adequate?

A decreasing number of Canadians are eligible for employment insurance. In the 1970s, 85 percent of Canadians paid into this program, compared to approximately 55 percent today. Caregivers who are self-employed, part-time or casual, retired, or not in the formal workforce are ineligible for this benefit. This is significant in that non-standard employment continues to grow, suggesting that fewer workers will be eligible to receive the Compassionate Care Benefit. Professor Fast notes that marginalized workers — meaning persons without steady employment, those who work reduced hours, who have been in and out of the workforce, or who are homemakers or living on a pension — are likely to be first within a care receiver’s network to be relied upon for end-of-life care. Ironically, they may be in greater need of the Compassionate Care Benefit than those who have more regular work.

The Compassionate Care Benefit is intended only for those who care for a person who is expected to die within six months. This excludes a majority of caregivers: those caring for individuals who are suddenly incapacitated due to an accident, heart attack or stroke, who are chronically ill, disabled or mentally...
ill, or who suffer from degenerative brain diseases such as Alzheimer’s or other forms of dementia. The Alzheimer Society of Canada notes on its website that, in 2008, an estimated 450,000 Canadians over 65 had Alzheimer’s or a related dementia. Half of the 300,000 diagnosed with Alzheimer’s live in the community. It is unlikely that their caregivers would be eligible to receive the Compassionate Care Benefit.\(^{140}\)

**It is often hard to predict when someone is within six months of death.** Obtaining the required certificate from a physician or other health care provider therefore is often difficult.

**Six weeks of benefits and eight weeks of leave are not enough.** A review of caregivers investigating their desire and/or ability to access the Compassionate Care Benefit found that the vast majority of caregivers, including end-of-life caregivers, provided care to the patient for more than a year.\(^{141}\)

**Many caregivers are frustrated by the inefficiencies built into the current application process.** At a time of great stress, caregivers might decide not to take the time away from caring for the dying person to fill out an application, obtain a statement from their employer and a physician’s statement that death is imminent.

Current eligibility criteria, in particular, render a majority of caregivers — even end-of-life caregivers — ineligible for the program.\(^{142}\) It is therefore perhaps not surprising that the Pollara 2007 survey found that 90 percent of caregivers either did not apply for or did not receive the benefit.

### Other Supports for Caregivers

There are other ways to support caregivers: providing information on care, volunteer services (such as Meals on Wheels), formal in-home services (paid for publicly or privately), supportive housing, respite care (offered either in the home or in long-term care facilities) and day-away programs. In Canada, respite care and day-away programs are often provided at the local level, so it is difficult to know how many caregivers are getting this assistance, and whether it is sufficient.\(^{143}\) (See box “Some Leading Support Practices from the Netherlands and Denmark.”)

Recognizing that caregivers are often parachuted into their role with little or no preparation, some organizations have produced publications to help caregivers in their roles as patient caregivers and advocates.

- The Victorian Order of Nurses (VON) developed *The Caregiver Best Practice Manual* and is expanding its caregiver web portal (www.caregiver-connect.ca) with the intention of making it a “one-stop-shopping” resource for caregivers.
- The Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association and the GlaxoSmithKline Foundation produced the booklet *Influencing Change: A Patient and Caregiver Advocacy Guide.*
- The Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association produced a comprehensive guide for caregivers, *Training Manual for Home Support Workers,* which can be purchased directly from them.
- The Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association (www.chpca.ca) offers resources for caregivers and health professionals, some of which are free (*A Caregiver’s Guide: A Handbook of End of Life Care* is available in many languages,
including those of First Nations and Inuit peoples).

- **The Family Caregiver**, a resource magazine, also has a website: www.thefamilycaregiver.com.
- The VON is expanding a *Neighbours Helping Neighbours* program to train volunteers to develop the skills they need to help others. Volunteers receive training in subjects such as family dynamics, the aging process and pain management.144
- The Canadian Caregiver Coalition represents and promotes “the voice, needs and interests of family caregivers with all levels of government, and the community through: advocacy and leadership; research and education; and information, communication and resource development.”145

In Ontario, various organizations are coordinating care for the caregivers through the newly established *Family Caregiver Connections Project*. The project is being funded by the province through the Central Local Health Integration Network as part of Ontario’s Aging at Home Strategy. The project’s aim is outreach and prevention, encouraging caregivers to obtain respite.

In Canada, the federal government does not play an overt role in recognizing, supporting and educating carers. There is no national caregivers day, no national caregivers summit. We have one national organization dedicated to caregivers: the Canadian Caregivers Coalition. It offers information to support caregivers, and its website lists the three provinces that have caregiver associations (British Columbia, Alberta and Nova Scotia) and the disease-specific organizations that offer caregiver support. Its website is the Canadian equivalent of Carers UK (www.carersuk.org) and Carers Australia (www.carersaustralia.com.au). This latter website directs the visitor to a 1-800 telephone number to speak to someone at the visitor’s closest caregiver organization.

Likewise, Carers New Zealand (www.carers.net.nz) represents the interests of its country’s caregivers, focusing on supporting them, informing them of web-

---

### Some Leading Support Practices from the Netherlands and Denmark

The Netherlands has:

- *old people’s advisors*, trained volunteers and professionals who give unbiased advice to seniors. The advisors offer advice on social/community care, health, options, housing, finances, etc.;
- *Alzheimer’s cafés*, which Alzheimer’s patients and their families can attend together to meet others in a similar situation, learn about the disease and its progression, socialize, eat and drink. The driving thought behind the cafés is to preserve as normal a life as possible, for as long as possible. The cafés give a social face to Alzheimer’s disease, and offer those suffering in isolation opportunities to come together, and also offer a place for bereaved persons to come;
- *local preventive health care centres* for old people;
- *meeting centres in the community*, where individuals and their carers can socialize and obtain advice on an informal basis; and
- *care farms*, where people, old and young (with autism, Alzheimer’s, learning disabilities, or mental illness, or who have been formerly incarcerated) can assist in rural work. There has been a rapid expansion of these farms over the past decade, and people prefer them to day centres.

Denmark offers green dementia care, which involves getting carers and clients out into nature three times a week. Nature spurs memories, gives those with dementia some freedom, and puts them on a more equal footing with their carer. Both carers and clients enjoy these outings and find that they strengthen their relationship.

**Sources:**


Based tools to help them in their caring responsibilities, and advising them of progressive legislation regarding caregivers in other countries and of developments that affect caregivers in their own country.

In Canada, the sources of information for carers appear to be less clear and more difficult to determine than in the United Kingdom, Australia and New Zealand. We rely on disease-specific and other organizations (like those listed above), some of which might not be known to the many caregivers across the country.

How We Compare Internationally in Our Support for Caregivers

For the purposes of comparison, we will look at the United Kingdom and Australia.

The UK has introduced a state pension for caregivers who earn little or no income. Caregivers are entitled to a Carers Allowance, other benefits and help with housing costs. New legislation has been created for the 3 million caregivers in the United Kingdom who combine working and caregiving responsibilities. Since April 2007, caregivers have a right to request changes to their working patterns to better manage their caring. Employees are entitled to time off in the event of an emergency involving dependants and are permitted leave each year to care for a child under the age of 5 or a disabled child under the age of 18. Australia offers educational, moral and financial support plus respite relief to caregivers. Commonwealth Carer Resource Centres are located in each capital city. Eligible caregivers can receive up to 63 days of respite care. The Carer Allowance (non-income tested and not taxable) is paid to people who care for a relative or a friend at home who is assessed as requiring a fairly high level of care. An income-tested Carer Payment provides income support (similar to a pension) to people caring for someone who is in need of constant personal care or supervision at home for six months or more — including frail older people.

Australia also has these initiatives in place:

- a National Dementia Behaviour Advisory Service for carers and respite care staff that can be accessed through a Dementia Helpline;
- a Carer Education and Workplace Training Project, which offers training and education for caregivers and paid workers; and
- Psychogeriatric Care Units, which are generally attached to large public hospitals. These units offer clinical services as well as advice to caregivers and staff of residential facilities on dealing with difficult behaviours associated with dementia.

The major difference between Australia and Canada is that, in Australia, these programs and services are national, government-driven and sanctioned. Canada offers similar services, but does so through disease-specific and charitable organizations, such as the Alzheimer’s Society or the VON. Canada could do more to boost awareness of and access to educational support; provide advice; moral support; financial support; workplace support; and flexibility in pensions. Canada could also show more recognition of caregivers on a national basis.

Professor Fast notes that Canada lags behind other industrialized countries in its patchwork of caregiving policies. Researchers have noted, “The danger is not that families will abandon their ill relatives but that the health care system will abandon its caregivers.”
In order to develop an efficient, effective and integrated system of home care that has explicit recognition of Canadian values, many issues must be addressed.

— Malcolm Anderson and Karen Parent

These issues include growing pressures on limited human resources, insufficient funding, reductions in home supports, the shifting of responsibility or care to the family caregivers (exceeding their capacity to cope), and concerns surrounding leadership and direction for home care policy and planning, both provincially/territorially and federally.

— Canadian Mental Health Association

Challenges as Yet Unmet

There is no shortage of challenges relating to the provision of home care and to addressing the needs of caregivers in Canada. This much is clear upon our analysis of home care, international comparators, home care research, and caregiver issues.

A 1999 report by the Association of Fifty-Plus, formerly the Canadian Association of Retired Persons (CARP), identified the following issues as being of paramount importance:

• lack of leadership (no coherent strategy for developing home care);
• human resources;
• inadequate funding;
• caregiver burden;
• pressures on the voluntary sector;
• diminished access and inequities for accessing home care (policies have decreased the eligibility criteria for home care);
• the medicalization of home care;
• increased privatization;
• reduction in home support;
• variation in services;
• lack of knowledge and awareness; and
• lack of research.

Nearly 10 years later, these issues have not been addressed, except for the latter two points. Meanwhile, the need for home care is growing, not abating.
A Summary of Challenges

The following summary highlights some of the most pressing home care challenges.

Lack of federal leadership. Though various provincial/territorial goals and standards have been established, none have been set at the national level for long-term home care.

Lack of integration. Many jurisdictions still need to focus on seamlessly integrating home care within the health care sector. The Health Council of Canada noted this deficiency in 2008:

Although federal, provincial and territorial governments have vision statements to guide their renewal efforts in both primary health care and home care, few governments have set targets or have implemented strategies for measuring, monitoring and reporting on progress.... And few jurisdictions report an integrated approach to home care, suggesting that they have yet to view home care as a seamless extension of the health care system.150

Most governments have met commitments made in 2004 to provide two weeks of publicly funded home care services for post–acute care and palliative care patients or individuals who are in acute mental stress. The Health Council of Canada notes that there is room for progress in light of the fact that in 2005, 2–5 percent of Canadians used home care services while 3–4 percent of seniors said they needed home care services they did not receive.151

Continued emphasis on short-term, post–acute home care rather than preventive long-term home care. Governments tend to concentrate on one form of home care at the expense of another. As Hollander notes:

Current policies which favour the provision of publicly funded home care services to people discharged from hospitals have the effect of making home support services less available to those who need support over a longer period of time. The above, and initiatives to disenfranchise individuals by cutting people who need lower levels of care from service, tend to shift fiscal responsibility for the financing of needed care services from the state to the individual.152

Inadequate data collection. In 2001, CIHI created the Home Care Reporting System to provide comparable data on publicly funded home care to support policy-makers, health planners and front-line providers. The system covers the continuum of care from acute, rehabilitation and long-term to end-of-life care delivered through home care programs. In February 2007, Yukon became the first jurisdiction to submit data to CIHI's Home Care Reporting System. As more jurisdictions participate, policy-makers will be able to track progress and monitor trends over time and make comparisons of outcomes and resources.153 We are making progress, although gaps remain. We have little information on human resources and turnover rates, use of respite care, and wait times for services for children. The latter issue is critical; often, children cannot receive publicly funded home care services until after they have received a diagnosis.

Palliative care. Statistics Canada recently reported an increase in death rates, reflecting our aging population: 230,132 Canadians died in 2005, up 1.6 percent from 2004.154 (See box “Ontario’s End of Life Strategy.”) Most die in hospital because of a shortage of palliative care physicians and nurses, as well as a lack of 24/7 case management support.155 CIHI noted in 2007 that “variation in care suggests that an integrated, systematic approach to end-of-life care does not yet exist.”156
**Health human resources.** Canada is experiencing the global health human resources shortage. Most provinces and territories have a shortage of nurses and a range of other home and community care workers, a situation that is more pronounced in rural and remote areas and especially problematic when seeking staff with specialized skills for specific client populations (e.g. mental health, pediatrics). In addition to recruitment difficulties, retention challenges also confront the sector.157

**Other resources.** Effective home care requires not only human resources but also the products and commodities necessary to deliver care effectively (e.g. home oxygen machines) and broader aspects of community infrastructure (e.g. spaces for day programs for elderly clients with dementia). These elements are sometimes lacking, particularly in smaller, more rural and remote communities.

**Lack of uniformity across the country.** Substantial variations exist both among and within provinces and territories. These relate to co-payments and user fees, the basket of services offered under the home care umbrella, public coverage of costs for drugs, supplies and equipment; and the limits set on the amount of home care a client may receive.

**Lack of portability.** Services and benefits generally do not travel with the home care client. Residency requirements differ, which makes it complicated to move family members closer to willing caregivers.

**Difficulties in delivering home care services in rural and remote communities.** These persist and are often difficult to overcome. The result is unnecessary use of acute-care facilities.

---

**Ontario’s End-of-Life Care Strategy**

This care is intended for individuals at the end of life (EOL) who are usually older, living with serious chronic illness, disability and multiple co-morbidities, and who often receive fragmented and costly care. In October 2005, the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, with support from the 2004 Health Accord, developed the three-year End-of-Life Care Strategy to improve care for these individuals.

The Strategy’s main objectives were threefold: to shift care of the dying from acute care to alternate settings; to improve client-centred and interdisciplinary service delivery capacity in the community; and to improve access, coordination and consistency of services and supports across the province.(1)

The Ministry’s Home Care and Community Support Branch funded a research study to determine whether the strategy had been successful in meeting its objectives. It found the following:

- In its first year, Ontario served 3,500 more EOL clients and provided more care per client than in the previous year.(2)
- The EOL care networks that were developed have improved collaboration among providers, resulting in improved coordination, communication and consistency of care.(3)
- Clients and their families are receiving more help to navigate the health care system, and more of their preferences are being met.(4)

The key question of whether the strategy is proving to be cost-effective has not yet been determined.

**Footnotes and Source:**

(2) Ibid., p. 60.
(3) Ibid., p. 61.
(4) Ibid.

---

**Inadequate support for family caregivers.** Many are overburdened, particularly those who are caring for loved ones suffering from dementia and individuals with long-term illnesses (often with no hope of cure), those who have multiple responsibilities and those providing care to more than one person.
The public/private debate on funding of home care services. Which services should be funded and delivered through the public system and which ones should clients pay for privately? Should there be a means test, and if so, should it be based on assets or income? As health care researchers Parent and Anderson noted, “There is a growing private market, and so we have to ask why that is and if that is what we want. We have not had that debate.”

Inconsistent provision and delivery of home care services to Aboriginal and First Nations peoples. Challenges lie in providing adequate home care to these populations and eliminating or minimizing the differences between the provincial home care program and the on-reserve Health Canada funded Home Care Program. The differences make it difficult to offer consistent care delivery. These discrepancies need to be addressed.

Home care for children and youth. Difficulties include lengthy waiting lists, both for initial diagnosis and services; differences in services offered across the country; and difficulties associated with transitions from pediatric to school services and from child to adult services.

Lack of integration of the home care sector in the continuum of care (acute care, primary care and facility-based long-term care). This is an ongoing challenge, although in some cases we have succeeded admirably (PRISMA, CHOICE, SIPA).

Lack of strategic focus and funding for ICT in the home care sector. Governments are recognizing the vital importance of home care services in meeting the needs of the aging population and of those with chronic diseases. They must also recognize ICT’s effectiveness in this sector and make the necessary investments in ICT.
The Canadian Healthcare Association (CHA) has long advocated for a home and community care program that provides both acute care replacement services and ongoing continuing/chronic care in the community. CHA continues to affirm that all Canadians who require continuing care should receive the right care at the right time in the right setting.

Research projects undertaken in the last decade demonstrate that properly targeted long-term home care services allow individuals to live at home with independence and dignity, and can be valuable and cost-effective in that they either postpone people’s need for, or keep people out of, facilities and hospitals. The findings of these research projects indicate that it is time for long-term preventive and maintenance home care services to be placed on the health policy agenda.

The following recommendations outline actionable items that can contribute to the realization of equity, transparency and accountability in home care.

1. **Ensure integration and expansion of home care within the continuum of care.**

Hollander notes that expanding home care services might not cost as much as some policy-makers fear, as home care systems exist and would simply need additional investment rather than building a new system from scratch.

Some policy-makers may have the misapprehension that focusing on chronic or long-term care may significantly increase costs. In this regard it should be noted that all Provinces and Territories already have well-developed, government-
funded systems of long-term home care. All jurisdictions already provide professional services free of charge. Some provinces such as Manitoba, Ontario and Québec provide home support services without charge under certain conditions. Most other provinces provide them on an income-tested basis. Thus, the cost to “top up” existing systems could be fairly modest if one builds on the systems which already exist. In addition, restructuring resources into a continuing care model will not necessarily require new resources....Change costs would however be incurred.161

1.1 Expand, improve and identify standards for home care to ensure equitable access and to include long-term maintenance and prevention services.

The ability of governments to choose the number and types of services and the delivery models means that home care is more vulnerable to cyclical funding and political change.

When expanding home care, governments should:

• Make the client’s health and well-being the main priority.
• Give equal attention to longer-term maintenance/preventive home care and to short-term post–acute home care.
• Examine the Veterans Independence Program (VIP) as a potential model. Studies of the VIP demonstrated the worth (both financial and non-financial) of continuing long-term home care. In addition, the Liberal Task Force on Seniors recommended that the federal government use the VIP as a model to develop a similar program that promotes independent living among seniors.162
• Focus on a larger role for home care in caring for the mentally ill. Home care can reduce dependence on hospitals. To boost the role of home care in mental health, three barriers must be addressed: amend the fee-for-services schedules for psychiatrists, which currently pay them more for hospital inpatient visits than office visits; change the perception that the primary goal of home treatment is to save money; and find experienced mental health workers to provide the required specialized care at home.163
• Encourage a greater role for home care in palliative care.
• Ensure that the role of the case manager is optimized.
• Emphasize integration of care.
• Focus on improving home care for children and youth.

2. Ensure predictable and sustainable funding in home care.

The 1984 Canada Health Act applies only to insured health services that cover hospital care (acute, rehabilitation and chronic) and medical services. Home care remains an uninsured service under the Act, listed only as an extended service to which the five principles of the Act do not apply. It therefore has no protection under the Act.

The Health Council of Canada has stated that two weeks of publicly funded home care services to eligible patients is too modest an investment. It therefore urges jurisdictions to expand their home care coverage.164 This can be accomplished through a variety of methods, proposed by Wanless:
universal entitlement to social care that is government-supported and not means-tested;
- a social insurance model in which the government acts as the insurer and provides a package of care;
- shared costs between state and individual;
- the capping of individuals' liability after they have paid a certain amount or received services for a certain length of time; and
- a pension-linked savings plan.

In a series of pre-Budget briefs, CHA recommends as a start a $1 billion investment over three years to support a home care program with ongoing/chronic care services linked to pan-Canadian objectives while respecting provincial/territorial jurisdiction regarding the delivery of care.\textsuperscript{165}

2.1 **Address the issue of co-payments and user fees.**

CHA has advocated that *Canada Health Act* medically necessary services must continue to be publicly funded (single-tier) along with acute care replacement home care services. The home care picture is cloudy with regard to home support services. The question is whether governments should pay for these services or not.

At present, some provinces and territories charge for certain services. Shapiro notes that the substantial differences regarding co-payments have historical rather than rational roots.\textsuperscript{166}

Views on co-payments are mixed. Critics consider them discriminatory, pointing to the fact that they create perverse incentives to use a more costly alternative, and opine that they are not worth the trouble of either collecting or ensuring their fairness. Those in favour of co-payments believe that their goal is not to discourage the use of home care services but rather to recover some costs and thereby improve access to these services. They are of the opinion that co-payments — based on a means-based sliding scale so as not to exclude anyone who cannot afford them — would improve the home care system.

CHA believes that co-payments are acceptable for some services (e.g. home support services for some clients, reasonable accommodation payments for facility-based long-term care) and for pharmaceuticals, provided that this does not reduce access. It believes that fair co-payment levels can be established.

2.2 **Expand pharmacare to those receiving home care.**

The 2004 Accord stipulates that post–acute (mental and physical) and palliative home care clients receive pharmaceutical coverage. The introduction of national home care standards could extend pharmaceutical coverage for patients receiving home care for a medical condition, whether chronic or acute.

A recent comparison of provincial prescription drug plans and the impact on patients’ annual drug expenditures published in the *Canadian Medical Association Journal* finds that considerable discrepancies exist across the country in publicly funded provincial drug plans,
leading to great variations in costs to patients. It concludes: “Although current provincial drug plans provide good protection for isolated groups, most Canadians still have unequal coverage for outpatient prescription drugs.” This statement applies to many people receiving home care services or treatment, as they also typically use prescription drugs.”

2.3 Reduce inequities among and within provinces and territories.

Variations in services exist in numerous aspects of home care, including the application of co-payments and income testing; user fees, range and volume of services; publicly funded services; residency requirements; and client payment of supplies, equipment and drugs used in home care.

2.4 Consider having the funding follow the client to allow for portability.

CHA recommends portability of continuing care services across Canada to cover clients until they meet their residency requirements, thus reducing or eliminating waiting periods for either residential services or community-based services. Portability would prevent clients from losing benefits and services as they move from one part of the country to another to be closer to family caregivers. This recommendation would give continuing care services the same measure of portability, as other types of health care services listed in the Canada Health Act.

3. Introduce appropriate and relevant pan-Canadian principles to address a greater integration of home/community care.

3.1 Define and expand the basket of services.

CHA urges the federal government to broaden the basket of publicly funded services available across Canada by implementing a national home, community and long-term care program that is established outside of the Canada Health Act, perhaps modelling it after the Social Union Framework Agreement, with federal funding available subject to the provinces and territories meeting mutually agreed-upon objectives.

CHA has maintained that inability to pay should not prevent patients and clients from receiving needed health services, which includes those provided in the home and community. It seeks to ensure access to health services on the basis of need, not ability to pay.

3.2 Introduce a separate piece of legislation with appropriate and relevant principles to address a greater integration of home, community and long-term care on a pan-Canadian basis.

Developing this arrangement would not necessitate opening up the Canada Health Act. The Act requires that access be restricted to persons who require “medically necessary services.” This restriction would medicalize a program that is not simply or exclusively a health program but a health and social service program. There is, in fact, often a greater need for support services than for medical services among post-acute and long-term home care clients. Sometimes their sole need is for support services.
A specific federal/provincial fiscal arrangement on home care (beyond the extended services allocation) is needed. The terms of this arrangement should allow for integration, by the provinces, of home care into continuing care. Financial modalities should promote integration of long-term and acute care. The provinces should be able to adapt home care to the multiple needs of their populations, to the diversity of ethnic and regional characteristics, and to the organizational features of their health and social care system.169

3.3 Establish minimum standards and accountability mechanisms.

CHA recommends that the federal, provincial and territorial governments and health organizations establish clear expectations, develop explicit quality standards, and demand transparent accountability mechanisms to ensure quality.170

The Health Council of Canada recommends that governments identify targets, measure and monitor change, and report to Canadians on progress.

Shapiro notes that “in order to ensure the delivery of good quality care in the home, it is important for senior policy-makers to address the issue of home care standards as well as the issue of who is responsible for monitoring them.”171 The Liberal Task Force on Seniors agrees. It recommended “that the federal government collaborate with provincial and territorial governments to establish national standards for home care.”172

What would these national standards encompass? The Canadian Association for Community Care (which integrated with CHA in 2006) and the Canadian Home Care Association suggest that a basic set of core services in home and community care in each province and territory should include the following elements:

1. Case management — the assessment of needs, coordination of services and management of resources.
2. Professional care — the services of nurses, social workers, physiotherapists and other professionals, plus access to geriatric assessment and consulting pharmacists and physicians.
3. Assistance with the activities of daily living (washing, dressing) — often referred to as “personal care.”
4. Assistance with the instrumental activities of daily living (activities related to independent living, including preparing meals, managing money, shopping for groceries or personal items, performing housework, and using a telephone) — often referred to as “home-making” or “home support.”
5. Caregiver support — respite and advice.
6. Organized volunteer services — meals on wheels, friendly visiting.
7. Palliative care.
8. Necessary medical supplies and equipment.
9. Day programs.
10. Self-managed care option.
11. Access to subsidized prescription drugs.173
Some of these might be difficult to establish in rural and remote communities, but the use of technology (remote imaging, telehealth, teleconferencing, and video conferencing) would facilitate the provision of most of these services.

3.4 Establish strong federal leadership.

The Kirby report pointed out that “the federal government must play a major role in meeting the serious challenges now facing our publicly funded health system.” It underscored that, through its financing role, the federal government can support national principles; ensure that all provinces and territories have the financial resources they need to provide health care (through the redistribution of funds); reform and renew health care; ensure harmonization; have a strong voice in health system restructuring discussions; and provide stable funding.

The federal government must take a leading role in developing a pan-Canadian agreement on home care.

The absence of a federal/provincial agreement on a national home care program means that where Canadians live, rather than what they need, determines whether they will have access to services or whether they will have to meet residency requirements, whether they will pay user charges for support services and on what basis they will pay for them, and whether they will be able to count on the continuity of service providers. This situation runs counter to the principles of universality, accessibility, comprehensiveness, portability, and public administration that underpin the policies, administration, management and delivery of other health care services. It is, therefore high time for both levels of government to implement a national home care program that treats all Canadians equally and equitably.

4. Provide appropriate supports to both formal and informal (usually family) caregivers.

Caregivers are performing a valuable service — and they deserve more support.

4.1 Minimize the financial burden placed on informal caregivers through means such as amending the Canada Pension Plan/Quebec Pension Plan.

The federal government took a major step forward when it introduced the Caregiver Benefit. It could be further strengthened by placing it under the Canada Pension Plan/Quebec Pension Plan (CPP/QPP) rather than the Employment Insurance program, so that all who give of their time could benefit, not just those with paid non-contractual employment.

A provision in the CPP/QPP to allow for caregiving responsibilities would permit those who leave the labour force to continue to contribute to CPP/QPP. CPP allows parents to drop years of low or no earnings from the calculation of their pension benefits, but no provision exists for other types of caregivers.
4.2  Provide additional supports for caregivers.

Other forms of support could be undertaken at a national level:

• recognition of the caregiver role and its importance to society;
• establishment of minimum standards for respite care;
• easier access to information and training; and
• greater social supports for caregivers.

Finally, First Nations and Inuit caregivers require special attention. As many provide care to more than one person, they require better access to home and community care and to respite care.

4.3  Increase the flexibility and availability of respite care.

5.  Address the public/private split in terms of access to and quality of services, as well as transparency and accountability.

In Canada, both the public and private sectors have been, and will no doubt continue to be, involved in the delivery of home care services. In addition, services are funded both through public and private funding (out of pocket or insurance).

CHA believes in a publicly funded health system. However, it regards private sector involvement in the delivery of health services as neither a detriment nor a solution to the challenges facing our health system. CHA’s position concerning the appropriate public–private mix in the funding and delivery of health care is linked to the principle of access to health services based on health need, not ability to pay. This is a core Canadian value and it cannot be jeopardized. CHA is on record as supporting an evidence-based approach as to when, where and how private funding and/or delivery can occur.178

Shapiro and others have noted that “the public/private split is hampering the efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and the quality of care delivered to clients.” She cites “the split between the public case management function that determines eligibility, level, locus of service delivery, and cost, and the service delivery function that is often performed by private provider organizations” as one of the major challenges we face.179

The private sector has a long history in delivering home health care services. Therefore, the issue is to determine how best to overcome the challenges inherent in the public/private split. This can be accomplished in part by fostering better communication through information technology, or by co-locating case managers and those who are paid to provide care, whether through public or private organizations.

The competitive bidding process for home care delivery contracts creates problems for both the profit and not-for-profit service providers as well as for the clients who often lose continuity of service. Two providers, the Red Cross and the Ontario Community Support
Association, offered suggestions to reduce the negative impacts associated with a competitive bidding process:

- Clarify quality indicators based on client outcomes.
- Improve monitoring to ensure that performance expectations are met, and if they are not, establish a time frame for improvement. If improvements are not made in a timely manner, the provider’s contract would be terminated.

This would limit competitive bidding to those circumstances where a provider lost a contract due to poor performance, or when a provider voluntarily withdraws from a contract, and would also allow for competition if service volumes increase markedly.180

6. **Invest in health human resources.**

6.1 Promote, encourage and strengthen home care health human resources through:

- targeted recruitment and retention, and
- education and training opportunities.

**Recruitment and Retention**

Home care workers should receive wage parity with similar workers in other areas of the health system. Decreasing the casual workforce and promoting the permanent workforce would boost morale and reduce turnover. Recent Ontario research has found that nurses who work on a casual basis are twice as likely to leave their jobs as those working part time or full time, and that nurses who work in long-term care and home care were the most likely to leave.181 Research also finds that retention of respiratory therapists who work in home and community care is below average, even when that care is given in a hospital setting. More investigation is needed to identify the reasons.182

Developing career paths and improving benefits would assist in the retention of home care providers. Studies have indicated that more health professionals could be persuaded to work in home care if the full scope of practice were emphasized to a greater degree.183 In particular, a marketing strategy to attract more trained home mental health care workers is required.

**Education and Training**

Greater education and training would permit personal support workers to better deal with the increasing complexity of home care. Ministries of education should consider the recommendations of the Health Professions Regulatory Advisory Council (HPRAC):

- Personal support worker educational programs in community colleges, career colleges, not-for-profit organizations and boards of education should have mandatory standardized educational outcomes.
• Employers should be involved in establishing curriculum and educational outcomes to meet workplace needs.\textsuperscript{184}

Given that health human resources shortages are expected to remain a challenge for at least the next three decades, strong leadership is needed at the federal, provincial and territorial levels to address this critical issue. CHA has long urged governments to act upon the initiatives in the \textit{Pan-Canadian Health Human Resources Framework and Strategy} that would deal effectively with labour relations issues, including remuneration levels, benefit packages, service contracts, and payment mechanisms for all health care providers.\textsuperscript{185} The time has never been more favourable than now.

7. **Make greater use of information and communications technology.**

Information technology could certainly play a greater role in home care and in the health system overall. Two areas for expansion of the role of ICT are monitoring and managing the conditions of patients with chronic disease and in post–acute care. Home monitoring devices have been used with great success in various \textit{Health Transition Fund} and \textit{Primary Health Care Transition Fund} initiatives as well as in many other pilot projects across the country. Despite this success, home monitoring devices are not yet the norm. (See boxes “Telemonitoring — Efficient, Effective and Eminently Embraceable” and “Looking at Human Help... and Beyond.”)

Two recent studies emanating from the United States revealed that seniors are willing to use technology, such as sensors to detect falls, machines to monitor blood pressure and respiration, and medication dispensers, to help them age safely in their homes.\textsuperscript{186}

Given that technology can facilitate home care, enable clients to feel safer, give greater peace to them and to their families and friends, and also boost the efficiency of the health system overall, it is important that ICT and other forms of technology be broadly implemented in our health care system. As every comparison with other OECD countries shows, Canada

---

**Telemonitoring — Effective, Efficient and Eminently Embraceable**

Home health monitoring, more commonly referred to as “remote patient monitoring,” is a branch of telemedicine that focuses on monitoring the health-related indicators of a patient living at home. The indicators are generally patients’ vital signs, such as oxygen levels, blood pressure and weight.\textsuperscript{(1)} Telemonitoring is proving to be an effective way of monitoring patients with chronic disease, and in fact, technology industry leaders are being advised that this is a growth area.\textsuperscript{(2)} Pilot projects in cities such as Calgary, Ottawa, Scarborough, Hamilton and Montreal, and in provinces such as Manitoba and New Brunswick are yielding impressive results and are indicating that telemonitoring:

- reduces hospital admissions and readmissions (in New Brunswick by as much as 85 percent);
- lowers the number of emergency department visits;
- helps patients to better manage their chronic conditions;
- reduces health system costs;
- gives greater assurance that patients follow after-care instructions;
- supports physicians, especially in under-serviced areas;
- saves patients from unnecessary travelling; and
- permits more patients to be monitored, generally by nurses, in less time.

Footnotes and Source:
(2) Ibid., p. 9.
Canadian Healthcare Association

Looking at Human Help...and Beyond

Norway is thinking well outside the box in its search for home care solutions. It is facing an acute shortage of health care workers and a growing number of elderly persons, and is therefore considering enlisting non-human help in the form of robots and other technological devices. If robots could do housework, and if medical equipment could help clients monitor and care for their health, then the need for health care workers could be lessened. The human workers could then concentrate on giving care.

Source:

Health Infoway and an extension of its mandate, we will continue to fall behind other countries in health ICT, and the efficiencies that we need to achieve will be difficult to attain.

To enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of Canada’s health system, CHA recommends additional investments of $6.2 billion over five years in order to accelerate the development and implementation of a pan-Canadian electronic health record and to broaden its scope.188

In 2002, CHA stated: “Without a compatible, pan-Canadian health information system, many improvements to our health system will not be possible. Accountability mechanisms will be halted or drastically reduced in scope. Effective coordination of treatment across the continuum of care will not be possible. Health information technologies are an essential investment that will fundamentally change and improve the delivery and integration of health services.”189 This statement remains true today.

8. Share leading practices and experiences from both within and outside Canada.

Many countries are pioneering novel home care practices, and Canada itself has pockets of excellence. We can build upon the lessons learned at home and abroad (especially from those countries that are facing similar demographic, financial and geographic challenges). Much has been done — and is being done — in home care. It is time to start applying proven strategies within the system.
Pour que les soins à domicile améliorent et maintiennent la santé des Canadiens, les programmes de soins à domicile doivent poursuivre des objectifs clairement définis, être fondés sur des preuves cliniques, systémiques et sociales de leur efficacité, former un volet cohérent d’un système de soins de santé intégré et reposer sur la réalité politique et constitutionnelle du Canada. L’adhésion à ces principes favorisera l’intégration sécuritaire des soins à domicile à la réforme des soins de santé [trad.]

— Laurence G. Thompson

Recommandations

L’Association canadienne des soins de santé (ACS) plaide depuis longtemps en faveur d’un programme de soins à domicile et communautaires qui offre à la fois des services en remplacement des soins de courte durée ainsi que des soins continus et/ou des soins aux malades chroniques sur une base permanente. L’ACS maintient que tous les Canadiens qui ont besoin de soins continus doivent recevoir les soins appropriés, au bon moment, dans le milieu approprié.

Les études réalisées au cours de la dernière décennie ont démontré que les services de soins à domicile à long terme, s’ils sont bien ciblés, permettent aux personnes de vivre à la maison de manière autonome et avec dignité et peuvent s’avérer précieux et rentables du fait qu’ils retardent ou évitent la vie en établissements et en milieu hospitalier. Les conclusions de ces études indiquent qu’il est temps d’inscrire les soins à domicile à long terme au programme des politiques en santé, car ils assurent une fonction de prévention et de maintien de la santé.

Les recommandations qui suivent décrivent des mesures pragmatiques susceptibles d’amener équité, transparence et responsabilisation dans les soins à domicile.

1. Assurer l’intégration et l’expansion des soins à domicile dans le continuum de soins.

Hollander souligne que l’expansion des services de soins à domicile ne coûterait pas si cher que certains décideurs ne le craignent, car les systèmes de soins à domicile existent déjà. Nul besoin de partir de rien, mais simplement d’investir des fonds additionnels.
Certs décideurs craignent une augmentation considérable des coûts s’ils mettent l’accent sur les soins aux maladies chroniques ou les soins de longue durée. À cet égard, il importe de souligner que toutes les provinces et les territoires ont déjà des systèmes de soins à domicile à long terme bien établis et subventionnés par des fonds gouvernementaux. Toutes les autorités fournissent déjà gratuitement des services professionnels. Certaines provinces, comme le Manitoba, l’Ontario et le Québec, offrent gratuitement des services de soutien à domicile sous certaines conditions. La plupart des autres provinces offrent ces soins en fonction des revenus. En conséquence, les coûts requis pour bonifier les systèmes existants seraient relativement modestes si l’on se fondait sur les systèmes qui existent déjà. De plus, la réorganisation des ressources en un modèle de soins continus n’exigera pas nécessairement l’ajout de nouvelles ressources ... Il faut toutefois s’attendre à encourir des frais pour opérer les changements.161

1.1 Étendre et améliorer les soins à domicile et établir des normes pour assurer l’accès équitable et inclure des services de maintien de longue durée et de prévention.

Les soins à domicile sont plus sensibles face aux financements cycliques et aux changements politiques du fait que les gouvernements ont la capacité de choisir le nombre et les types de services et les modèles de prestation.

Les gouvernements qui développeront les soins à domicile devraient :

- Faire de la santé et du bien-être des clients la principale priorité.
- Accorder une attention égale aux soins de maintien à domicile et de prévention à plus long terme et aux soins à domicile postactifs à court terme.
- Examiner le Programme pour l’autonomie des anciens combattants (PAAC) comme modèle potentiel. Les études du PAAC ont démontré la valeur (financière et non financière) des soins à domicile continus de longue durée. De plus, le groupe de travail libéral sur les aînés a recommandé au gouvernement fédéral de s’inspirer du PAAC pour concevoir un programme similaire permettant aux personnes âgées de vivre de façon autonome.162
- Accorder un plus grand rôle aux soins de la maladie mentale dans les soins à domicile. Les soins à domicile peuvent réduire la dépendance à l’égard des hôpitaux. Pour que les soins à domicile jouent un plus grand rôle en santé mentale, il faut surmonter les trois obstacles suivants : modifier les barèmes de rémunération à l’acte des psychiatres qui sont plus élevés à l’heure actuelle pour les consultations de patients hospitalisés que pour les consultations en cabinet; changer la perception que l’on a que le principal objectif du traitement à domicile est de faire économiser de l’argent; et trouver des travailleurs de la santé mentale expérimentés prêts à dispenser à domicile les soins spécialisés nécessaires.163
- Encourager un plus grand rôle des soins à domicile en soins palliatifs.
- Optimiser le rôle du gestionnaire de cas.
- Insister sur l’intégration des soins.
- Améliorer les soins à domicile pour les enfants et les jeunes.
2. Assurer le financement prévisible et durable des soins à domicile.

La Loi canadienne sur la santé de 1984 (la Loi) ne s’applique qu’aux services de santé assurés qui couvrent les soins hospitaliers (soins de courte durée, réadaptation, soins chroniques) et les services médicaux. Les soins à domicile ne sont pas assurés en vertu de la Loi. Ils font partie des services étendus auxquels les cinq principes de la Loi ne s’appliquent pas. Ils ne sont donc pas protégés par la Loi.

Le Conseil canadien de la santé a déclaré que la prise en charge de deux semaines de services de soins à domicile financés par le gouvernement pour les patients admissibles représente un investissement trop modeste. Il incite les administrations à élargir leur couverture des soins à domicile.\(^\text{164}\) Wanless propose plusieurs méthodes pour y arriver :

- droit universel à des soins sociaux soutenus par les gouvernements et non liés aux revenus;
- modèle d’assurance sociale selon lequel le gouvernement agit comme l’assureur et offre un ensemble de soins;
- partage des coûts entre l’État et le citoyen;
- établissement de seuils à la responsabilité d’une personne qui a payé un certain montant ou reçu des services pendant une certaine période;
- plan d’épargne relié au régime de retraite.

Dans une série de mémoires prébudgétaires, l’ACS recommande d’abord un investissement de 1 milliard $ sur trois ans pour soutenir un programme de soins à domicile, incluant des services de soins prolongés/aux malades chroniques, lié aux objectifs pancanadiens et respectant la juridiction provinciale/territoriale relative à la prestation des soins.\(^\text{165}\)

2.1 Examinier la question de la participation aux coûts et des tickets modérateurs.

L’ACS a toujours préconisé que les services médicalement nécessaires en vertu de la Loi canadienne sur la santé continuent d’être financés par les fonds publics (un seul palier), de même que les services de soins à domicile en remplacement des soins de courte durée. La situation des soins à domicile est plus trouble en ce qui a trait aux services de soutien à domicile. La question est de savoir si les gouvernements devraient ou non payer pour ces services.

Actuellement, des frais sont imposés pour certains services dans quelques provinces et territoires. Shapiro souligne que les principales différences entre les provinces sur le plan de la participation aux coûts ont des racines historiques plutôt que rationnelles.\(^\text{166}\)

Les opinions sur la participation aux coûts sont partagées. Ceux qui s’y opposent la jugent discriminatoire et soulignent qu’elle crée des incitatifs pervers à utiliser une autre solution plus coûteuse. Ils croient que le trouble de percevoir cette quote-part et de s’assurer qu’elle est équitable n’en vaut pas la peine. Ceux qui sont en faveur croient au contraire qu’elle ne vise pas à empêcher les gens d’utiliser les services de soins à domicile, mais plutôt à récupérer certains frais permettant d’en améliorer l’accès. Ils sont d’avis que la participation aux coûts, établie selon un tarif dégressif basé sur les revenus de manière à ne pas exclure les personnes incapables de payer, améliorerait le système de soins à domicile.
L’ACS croit que la participation aux coûts est acceptable pour certains services, comme les services de soutien à domicile pour certains clients, la participation raisonnable aux coûts de l’hébergement en établissement de soins de longue durée et le ticket modérateur sur les produits pharmaceutiques, à la condition que cela ne réduise pas l’accès aux soins. L’ACS croit qu’il est possible de déterminer des niveaux équitables de participation aux coûts.

2.2 Étendre l’assurance-médicaments à ceux qui reçoivent des soins à domicile.

L’Accord de 2004 prévoit que les clients qui reçoivent des soins à domicile, qu’il s’agisse de soins postactifs (pour les maladies mentales et les maladies physiques) ou de soins palliatifs, aient droit au remboursement des médicaments. L’introduction de normes nationales en matière de soins à domicile pourrait étendre la couverture des médicaments aux patients qui reçoivent des soins à domicile pour des troubles médicaux chroniques ou aigus.

Une récente comparaison des régimes d’assurance-médicaments des provinces et de leurs effets sur les dépenses annuelles des patients publiée par le Journal de l’Association médicale canadienne conclut qu’il existe des variations considérables dans les régimes publics provinciaux d’assurance-médicaments, ce qui mène à des variations importantes des dépenses annuelles des patients. L’étude conclut : « Bien que les régimes d’assurance-médicaments provinciaux actuels offrent une bonne protection à des groupes isolés, la plupart des Canadiens ont toujours une protection inégale en matière de médicaments sur ordonnance pour patients non hospitalisés. »167 Ce constat s’applique également aux nombreuses personnes qui reçoivent des services ou des traitements à domicile.

2.3 Réduire les iniquités au sein des provinces et territoires et entre ceux-ci.

Dans le pays, on observe des variations de services dans les domaines suivants : les modalités relatives à la participation aux coûts et à la prestation fondée sur les revenus; les tickets modérateurs, la gamme et le volume des services; les services subventionnés par des fonds publics; les exigences de résidence; et le paiement par les clients de fournitures, équipements et médicaments utilisés dans les soins à domicile.

2.4 Envisager des mécanismes faisant en sorte que le financement suive le client pour favoriser la transférabilité.

L’ACS recommande également la transférabilité des services de soins de longue durée partout au Canada, pour couvrir les clients jusqu’à ce qu’ils répondent aux exigences d’admission en établissement, réduisant ou éliminant ainsi les périodes d’attente pour des services en établissement ou des services communautaires. Ainsi, les clients ne perdraient pas leurs avantages et leurs services en se déplaçant d’une région du pays à une autre pour se rapprocher de leurs aidants naturels. La transférabilité s’appliquerait de la même façon que dans la Loi canadienne sur la santé.
3. **Adopter des principes pancanadiens appropriés et pertinents pour mieux intégrer les soins à domicile et les soins communautaires.**

3.1 Définir et étendre la gamme de services.

L’ACS presse le gouvernement fédéral d’élargir la gamme de services financés par les deniers publics disponibles au Canada en instaurant un programme national de soins à domicile, communautaires et de longue durée créé en dehors de la Loi canadienne sur la santé, possiblement inspiré de l’Entente-cadre pour l’union sociale, en vertu duquel le financement fédéral serait assujetti à l’atteinte d’objectifs convenus de concert avec les provinces et territoires.\(^{168}\)

L’ACS maintient que l’incapacité de payer ne devrait pas empêcher des patients et des clients de recevoir les services de santé dont ils ont besoin, y compris les services offerts à domicile et dans la communauté. L’ACS prône l’accès aux services de santé sur la base des besoins et non pas sur la base de la capacité de payer.

3.2 **Adopter une loi distincte qui établit les principes appropriés et pertinents pour mieux intégrer les soins à domicile, communautaires et de longue durée sur une base pancanadienne.**

Pour ce faire, il n’est pas nécessaire de modifier la *Loi canadienne sur la santé*. La Loi exige que l’accès soit restreint aux personnes qui ont besoin de « services médicalement nécessaires ». Cette restriction médicaliserait un programme qui n’est pas simplement ou exclusivement un programme de santé, mais un programme de services en santé et de services sociaux. On compte plus de clients en soins postactifs et en soins de longue durée qui ont besoin de services de soutien que de clients qui ont besoin de services médicaux. Parfois ils ont uniquement besoin de services de soutien.

Il est nécessaire de conclure des accords fiscaux fédéral/provinciaux particuliers pour les soins à domicile (au-delà de l’allocation pour des services complémentaires). Les modalités de tels accords devraient permettre aux provinces d’intégrer les soins à domicile aux soins de longue durée. Les modalités financières devraient promouvoir l’intégration des soins de courte et de longue durée. Les provinces devraient pouvoir adapter les soins à domicile aux multiples besoins de leur population, à la diversité ethnique et régionale et aux caractéristiques organisationnelles de leur système de santé et de services sociaux.\(^{169}\)

3.3 **Établir des normes minimales et des mécanismes de reddition de compte.**

L’ACS recommande que les gouvernements fédéral, provinciaux et territoriaux et les organisations de la santé déterminent des attentes claires, élaborent des normes de qualité explicites et demandent la mise en place de mécanismes de reddition de compte transparents pour assurer la qualité.\(^{170}\)

Le Conseil canadien de la santé recommande que les gouvernements déterminent des cibles, mesurent et surveillent le changement et font rapport aux Canadiens sur les progrès réalisés.
Selon Shapiro, « il est important, pour garantir la prestation de soins à domicile de bonne qualité, que les principaux décideurs se penchent sur la question des normes des soins à domicile et précisent qui est chargé de leur suivi. »

Le groupe de travail libéral sur les aînés abonde dans le même sens. Il a recommandé que « le gouvernement fédéral collabore avec les gouvernements provinciaux et territoriaux à l’établissement de normes nationales en matière de soins à domicile. »

Que comprendraient ces normes nationales? L’Association canadienne des soins et services communautaires (qui a fusionné avec l’ACS en 2006) et l’Association canadienne des soins et services à domicile suggèrent que les provinces et territoires offrent les services et soins communautaires et à domicile de base suivants :

2. Soins professionnels – les services d’infirmières, de travailleurs sociaux, de physiothérapeutes et d’autres professionnels, ainsi que l’accès à des évaluations en gériatrie et des consultations avec des pharmaciens et des médecins.
3. Aide à l’accomplissement des activités quotidiennes (se laver, s’habiller) – que l’on appelle souvent « soins personnels ».
4. Aide à l’accomplissement des activités instrumentales de la vie quotidienne (activités ayant trait à la vie autonome, comme préparer les repas, gérer ses finances, faire des courses, s’occuper de l’entretien ménager et utiliser un téléphone) – que l’on appelle souvent « soutien » ou « maintien à domicile ».
5. Soutien aux aidants naturels – services de relève et conseils.
7. Soins palliatifs.
8. Fournitures médicales et équipements nécessaires.
9. Programmes de jour.
10. Option d’autogestion des soins.
11. Accès subventionné aux médicaments sur ordonnance.

Certains de ces services peuvent être difficiles à instaurer dans des collectivités rurales et éloignées, mais l’utilisation de la technologie, comme l’imagerie à distance, la télésanté, la téléconférence et la vidéoconférence faciliterait la prestation de la plupart de ces services.

3.4 Établir un leadership fédéral solide.

Le rapport Kirby a souligné que « le gouvernement fédéral doit jouer un rôle important dans la résolution des graves problèmes auquel est présentement confronté le système de santé public. » Il a également souligné que par son rôle en matière de financement, le gouvernement fédéral peut : soutenir des principes nationaux, veiller à ce que toutes les provinces et les territoires disposent des ressources financières nécessaires pour répondre aux besoins de leur population en matière de soins de santé (au moyen de la redistribution des fonds); réformer et renouveler les soins de santé; assurer l’harmonisation; avoir voix au chapitre lorsqu’il s’agit de restructurer le système de santé; et offrir du financement stable et prévisible.
Le gouvernement fédéral doit jouer un rôle de chef de file dans l’élaboration d’une entente pancanadienne sur les soins à domicile.

L’absence d’entente fédérale-provinciale sur un programme de soins à domicile national signifie que le lieu de résidence des Canadiens, plutôt que leurs besoins, est déterminant en ce qui concerne leur accès à des services, les critères auxquels ils devront satisfaire pour recevoir des soins en résidence, les frais modérateurs qu’ils auront à payer pour des services de soutien et selon quelles modalités, ainsi que la continuité dans les fournisseurs assurant ces services. La situation est contraire aux principes d’universalité, d’accessibilité, d’intégralité, de transférabilité et d’administration publique qui sous-tendent les politiques, l’administration, la gestion et la prestation d’ autres services de santé. Il est donc grandement temps que les deux paliers de gouvernement mettent en œuvre un programme de soins à domicile national qui traite tous les Canadiens sur un pied d’égalité et équitablement.176

4. **Offrir les soutiens appropriés aux intervenants professionnels et aux aidants naturels (généralement des membres de la famille).**

Les intervenants rendent des services précieux – et ils méritent un plus grand soutien.

4.1 **Réduire le fardeau financier imposé aux aidants naturels par diverses mesures, comme des modifications au Régime de pensions du Canada et au Régime des rentes du Québec.**

Le gouvernement fédéral a fait un grand pas en avant lorsqu’il a mis en place les prestations pour les aidants naturels. Il pourrait toutefois aller plus loin en intégrant une telle mesure au Régime de pensions du Canada (RPC) et au Régime des rentes du Québec (RRQ) plutôt qu’ au Programme d’assurance-emploi, de sorte que tous ceux qui donnent de leur temps pourraient en profiter et pas seulement les titulaires d’emplois rémunérés.

L’insertion d’une clause au RPC et au RRQ qui reconnaîtrait les services rendus par les aidants naturels permettrait à ceux qui quittent leur emploi pour soigner un proche de continuer à contribuer au RPC ou au RRQ. Le RPC permet aux parents d’exclure les années de revenus faibles ou nuls du calcul de leurs rentes de retraite, mais aucune clause de ce type n’existe pour les aidants naturels.177

4.2 **Offrir un soutien additionnel aux aidants naturels.**

D’autres mesures de soutien sont nécessaires et pourraient être entreprises à une échelle nationale :

- reconnaissance du rôle des aidants naturels et de son importance dans la société
- création de normes minimales relatives aux soins de relève
- accès plus facile à de la formation et de l’information
- plus grands appuis sociaux aux aidants naturels

Finalement, les aidants naturels des Premières nations et des Inuits requièrent une attention
spéciale. Comme bon nombre d’entre eux offrent des soins à plus d’une personne, ils doivent avoir un meilleur accès aux soins à domicile, aux soins communautaires et aux soins de relève.

4.3 **Améliorer la flexibilité et la disponibilité des soins de relève.**

5. **Examiner la question du partage public/privé en matière d’accès aux services, de qualité des services, de transparence et de reddition de comptes.**

Au Canada, le secteur public et le secteur privé participent tous deux à la prestation des services de soins à domicile et continueront sans aucun doute à le faire. En outre, les services sont financés par des fonds publics et par des fonds privés (défraiements ou assurance).

L’ACS croit en un système de santé public. Toutefois, elle ne voit pas la participation du secteur privé à la prestation des services de santé comme un désavantage ni comme une solution aux défis de notre système de santé. La position de l’ACS concernant la combinaison appropriée de financement et/ou de prestation de soins de santé par le secteur privé est liée au principe de l’accès à des services qui reposent sur le besoin de soins et non pas sur la capacité de payer. C’est une valeur canadienne fondamentale qui ne peut être mise en péril. L’ACS a toujours soutenu une approche fondée sur des preuves en ce qui concerne le moment, le lieu et la façon de financer ou d’offrir des soins privés.178

Shapiro et d’autres ont souligné que : « le clivage entre les secteurs public et privé dans les services de soins à domicile nuit à l’efficacité, à la rentabilité et à la qualité des soins dispensés aux clients. » Elle ajoute que « la coupure entre la fonction de gestion publique des cas, qui détermine le droit à des services, leur niveau, le lieu de leur prestation et leur coût, d’une part, et la fonction de prestation des services, d’autre part, qui revient souvent à des organisations de fournisseurs privés » est l’un des plus grands défis auxquels nous sommes confrontés.179

Le secteur privé a une longue expérience des services de soins à domicile. La question est donc de déterminer comment relever les défis inhérents au partage entre les secteurs public et privé. Pour ce faire, on peut notamment améliorer les communications, grâce à la technologie de l’information, ou installer dans un même endroit les gestionnaires de cas et les personnes rémunérées pour offrir des soins, que ce soit par le biais d’organisations publiques ou privées.

Le processus d’appels d’offres concurrentiels pour l’attribution des contrats de services de soins à domicile crée des problèmes aux fournisseurs de services à but lucratif et à but non lucratif, de même qu’aux clients qui perdent souvent la continuité des services. Deux fournisseurs, la Croix-Rouge et l’Ontario Community Support Association ont présenté leurs suggestions pour atténuer les impacts négatifs des appels d’offres concurrentiels :

- clarifier les indicateurs de qualité basés sur les résultats pour les clients.
- améliorer la surveillance pour assurer la performance voulue, et, dans le cas contraire, déterminer un délai pour démontrer une amélioration. Si aucune amélioration n’est constatée dans les délais prévus, le contrat du fournisseur serait résilié.
Ainsi, les appels d’offres concurrentiels se limiteraient aux situations où un fournisseur perd
son contrat à cause de sa piètre performance ou met fin volontairement à son contrat, ou
encore en cas d’augmentation des volumes de services.\textsuperscript{180}

6. **Investir dans les ressources humaines en santé.**

6.1 Promouvoir, encourager et renforcer les ressources humaines en santé des soins
à domicile par :

- le recrutement et le maintien en poste de personnel ciblé;
- les possibilités d’éducation et de formation.

Recrutement et maintien en poste

Les travailleurs des soins à domicile devraient avoir la parité salariale avec les travailleurs
qui effectuent des tâches semblables dans d’autres domaines du système de santé. La
diminution des emplois occasionnels et la promotion des emplois permanents sont des mesures
qui auraient un effet positif sur le moral de la main-d’œuvre et qui contribueraient à diminuer
le roulement de personnel. Une étude récente en Ontario a conclu que les infirmières qui ont
un emploi occasionnel quittent leur emploi deux fois plus souvent que celles qui travaillent à
temps partiel ou à temps plein et que les infirmières qui travaillent dans des établissements de
soins de longue durée et des services de soins à domicile sont celles qui ont le plus tendance
to quitte leur emploi.\textsuperscript{181} L’étude a également constaté que le taux de maintien en fonction des
inhalothérapeutes qui travaillent en soins à domicile et en soins communautaires est plus faible,
même quand ces soins sont donnés en milieu hospitalier. Il faudra approfondir la question pour
en identifier les raisons.\textsuperscript{182}

Le développement de cheminement et l’amélioration des avantages aideraient
à retenir en poste les intervenants au soins à domicile. Des études ont indiqué que l’on
covaincrait un plus grand nombre de professionnels de la santé de travailler dans le
domaine des soins à domicile si l’on mettait davantage accent sur la possibilité d’exercer
pleinement leurs compétences.\textsuperscript{183} Il importe particulièrement d’établir une stratégie de
marketing pour attirer plus de travailleurs en soins de santé mentale à domicile.

Éducation et formation

Les préposés aux services de soutien à la personne seraient mieux en mesure de faire face
tà la complexité croissante des soins à domicile s’ils étaient mieux formés. Les ministères de
l’Éducation devraient examiner attentivement les recommandations formulées par le Conseil
consultatif de réglementation des professionnels de la santé (CCRPS) :

- élaborer des résultats d’apprentissages uniformes et obligatoires pour les programmes
de formation des préposés aux services de soutien à la personne dans les collèges
communautaires, les collèges d’enseignement professionnel, les organismes à but non
lucratif et les conseils de l’éducation;
7. Utiliser davantage les technologies de l’information et des communications.

Les technologies de l’information et des communications (TIC) pourraient certainement jouer un plus grand rôle dans les soins à domicile et le système de santé en général. Elles laissent place à de l’expansion dans deux domaines en particulier : le suivi et la gestion de l’état des patients souffrant de maladies chroniques et recevant des soins postactifs. Certains dispositifs de surveillance à domicile ont été utilisés avec grand succès dans divers programmes du Fonds pour l’adaptation des services de santé et du Fonds pour l’adaptation des soins de santé primaire, de même que dans divers projets pilotes menés à la grandeur du pays. Malgré ces réussites, l’utilisation de ces dispositifs n’est pas encore devenue la norme. (Voir « Telemonitoring — Efficient, Effective and Eminently Embraceable » et « Looking at Human Help... and Beyond. »)

Deux études américaines récentes ont révélé que les personnes âgées sont désireuses d’utiliser la technologie, comme les détecteurs de chutes, les appareils qui surveillent la pression du sang et la respiration et les distributeurs de médicaments qui les aident à vieillir en sécurité dans leurs domiciles.

Puisque cette technologie peut faciliter la prestation des soins à domicile, donner un sentiment de sécurité aux clients et à leurs familles et amis et améliorer l’efficacité du système de santé en général, il est important que les TIC et les autres formes de technologie soient instaurées à une grande échelle dans notre système de soins de santé. Dans ce domaine comme dans bien d’autres, les pays de l’OCDE sont plus avancés que nous. En tant que pays développé doté de capacités technologiques de pointe, le Canada doit se pencher sur la question de l’utilisation des TIC dans les soins à domicile.

Dans leur Communiqué sur la santé, de septembre 2000, les premiers ministres canadiens convenaient d’unir leurs efforts pour créer un cadre complet à la grandeur du pays en vue d’améliorer la qualité des services, l’accès aux services et la prestation en temps opportun des services de santé offerts aux Canadiens. Les premiers ministres se sont également engagés à développer les dossiers de santé électroniques et les nouvelles technologies, comme la télésante. Sans un profond engagement fédéral envers Inforoute Santé du Canada et
l’extension de son mandat, nous continuerons de nous laisser distancer par les autres pays en matière de TIC de la santé et nous pourrons difficilement atteindre les niveaux d’efficacité souhaitables.

Pour améliorer l’efficience et l’efficacité du système de santé du Canada, l’ACS recommande des investissements additionnels de 6,2 milliards $ sur cinq (5) ans en vue d’accélérer le développement et la mise en œuvre d’un dossier de santé électronique pancanadien et d’en élargir la portée.188

En 2002, l’ACS énonçait que : « Sans un système d’information pancanadien compatible en santé, plusieurs améliorations à notre système de santé ne pourront être apportées. Les mécanismes de reddition de compte seront mis en veilleuse ou verront leur portée considérablement réduite. La coordination efficace des traitements à travers le continuum des soins ne sera pas possible. Les technologies de l’information en santé sont un investissement essentiel qui changera fondamentalement et qui améliorera la prestation et l’intégration des services de santé. »189 Cet énoncé est toujours vrai aujourd’hui.


Bien des pays expérimentent de nouvelles pratiques en matière de soins à domicile et le Canada lui-même abonde en exemples de réussite. Nous pouvons tirer parti des expériences du Canada et de l’étranger (particulièrement des pays qui ont des profils démographiques, financiers et géographiques semblables aux nôtres). On a fait beaucoup – et on fait encore beaucoup – dans le domaine des soins à domicile. Il est temps de commencer à appliquer les stratégies qui ont fait leur preuve à la grandeur du système.
There is no shortage of renewal efforts in primary health care and home care. But it is now time to start applying proven strategies across the system — and it is time for jurisdictions to identify clear targets, measure their efforts, and show Canadians how their health care system is changing for the better.

— Health Council of Canada

Conclusion

From the Margins to the Mainstream

The quotation from Hans Stein at the beginning of this brief highlights the fact that health systems are not static, that they evolve and reflect the changing priorities of society as a whole.

Tommy Douglas foresaw that the publicly funded health system he pioneered in this country would change with time. He identified two phases for medicare. The first phase would usher in universal public insurance for physician and hospital care. The second phase would extend medicare to home care, long-term care, community care, pharmacare, and initiatives that would address the social determinants of health and the disparities that are reflected in poor health outcomes. In this phase, emphasis would be placed on managing health care better, through wait list management, teamwork, integration, evidence-based practice and other innovations. If Canada is embarking on the second phase, then home care must be a cornerstone of a renewed and more efficient health care system.

Home care cannot meet all of the needs of patients; some require facility-based long-term care or hospitalization. Home care is an excellent choice for some patients but a poor choice for others. It will not solve our health budget problems, although it is likely to alleviate some of them. It is not a panacea for all the issues facing the health system. (See box “New Brunswick’s Extra-mural Program: Lessons Learned.”)

In 2003, Shapiro noted in her examination and summary of the Health Transition Fund initiatives:
New Brunswick’s Extra-Mural Program: Lessons Learned

New Brunswick developed its province-wide home health care strategy, the Extra-Mural Program, 25 years ago. Since then, the program has become an integration model for primary care, home care and rehabilitation services. Its name and mandate recognize the fact that, increasingly, care takes place beyond the walls of a hospital.

Anyone wishing to improve a home care program would do well to consider these five well-considered lessons.

1. The cornerstone of quality home health care services (whether they are acute care services, palliative care or rehabilitation) is the comprehensive team working collaboratively to meet the needs of the client and family. This team must include the client and family as partners in the provision of care and decision making in the same manner that collaborations with facility- and community-based health providers are deemed absolutely essential to the delivery of health care services in the home.

2. Increased utilization of acute home care services in New Brunswick has been the result of several factors, including:
   - planned design of a comprehensive home health care system;
   - awareness of the gaps in health care services, recognizing the potential for care in the community and development of solutions to address the gaps;
   - commitment to the importance of the role of home and community care and the advantages of caring for individuals at home by all stakeholders;
   - an increase in ambulatory/day surgery procedures that require home health care as a follow up;
   - response to technological advances in health care (i.e. equipment);
   - consumer demand for service in the home (i.e. palliative care); and
   - physician support of the program.

3. Home health care needs to communicate what it can deliver but also, more importantly, what it cannot. One must guard against the assumption that because something can be done at home, it should be done at home, it must be done at home. This alone is not sufficient justification; it ignores many of the complex factors associated with the home environment, including suitability of the home for the service delivery or the service itself.

...[there is] an increasing trend to make home care the vehicle for delivering services that are costly and that, in some cases, should be provided by other sectors....Home care is being pressured to speed up in-hospital or hospital emergency room discharges even when persons need complex, intensive, and, therefore, costly care at home. As well, home care is being pressed to speed up transfers from hospital to long-term care facilities of persons who require alternative resources for a period of convalescence that might result in their being able to return home. Finally, there is a disquieting increase in the number of initiatives that make home care the vehicle for delivering services that have traditionally and appropriately been within the mandate of public health.192

Home care should not eclipse long-term care or seniors’ housing. Both require more attention and more resources. The Saskatchewan Health Transition Fund initiative An Exploratory Study of the Impact of Home Care on Elderly Clients over Time found that seniors’ housing is more effective than preventive home care in keeping seniors alive and out of facility-based long-term care and that it results in lower overall health service costs. This was but one study, and its results should be interpreted with caution, but its message reminds us that home care is indeed only one part — albeit a vital part — of our health and social system.

Governments must come to regard home care as something more — and something less — than a cost-saving measure. Home care has its place in the continuum of care. It can substitute for acute care, post-acute care, facility-based long-term care or convalescent care. But this is not always the case. It is in the best economic interests of the health system overall if those who require, and whose health status would benefit from, home care receive it.
It has become apparent that when we focus on reforming one area of the health system and give less attention to other areas, our efforts are doomed to failure. In our preoccupation with acute care and wait times, we have not committed the necessary resources to home and community care, to the detriment of the system as a whole.

Yet despite the evidence from all quarters and over many years that an expanded role for home care is necessary, policy-makers show continued ambivalence on the subject of greater public funding for home care, particularly for longer-term maintenance and preventive care, and for reducing inequities across the country. This view continues, despite the fact that “...even modest investments in long-term home care, and a revalidation of long-term home care as an integral part of home care in Canada, are good strategic policy investments.”

Other countries have been much more proactive. Australia is two decades ahead of Canada in establishing a national home care program and is more realistic in its support of caregivers. The United Kingdom is focusing on home care as it continues to renew its health system. Home care has a high profile in the public health systems of other developed countries, including Denmark, Sweden, Japan, Germany and France. It is time for Canadian policy-makers to make home care (both short- and long-term) a priority.

Although various jurisdictions in this country have moved the home care agenda forward, policies generally do not reflect the shifts that have occurred in how and where we deliver care. Home care is moving from the margins to the mainstream, and its role is unlikely to diminish in importance over the next few decades.

4. Home health care is not “cheap” care, it is not second class care; it is first class care appropriate to the needs of the client/family. Unfortunately, home health care is often promoted as the panacea to health care problems, the bottom line benefits exaggerated. Instead, the home should be promoted as one of many appropriate locations for service delivery.

5. It is challenging to fund the growth of home health care within an environment of growing pressures on the entire health care system. The same pressures affecting institutional care are also impacting home health care services (e.g. rising costs of drugs, paucity of health human resource shortages, technological advances in equipment and interventions).
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## Appendix One

### Visions and Targets for Home Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
<th>Manitoba</th>
<th>Ontario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>To provide individuals with the support and health services they need to live fully and independently or interdependently as valued members of their community.</strong> B.C.’s home and community care services system will respect, recognize and support clients, their caregivers and their service providers.</td>
<td><strong>Home care is an integral part of a continuum and includes community as well as institutional services; both are seen as necessary to ensure the best possible quality of life for people with varying degrees of short- and long-term illnesses.</strong></td>
<td><strong>To ensure provision of effective, reliable and responsive home health care services to Manitobans so as to support independent living in the community.</strong> To ensure coordination of admission to facility care when living in the community is not a viable alternative. Regional health authorities (RHAs) are developing community living alternatives, which delay the need for facility care.</td>
<td><strong>To help people stay healthy, support their personal responsibility, and provide effective, accessible, quality care where and when needed, through health care policies and standards developed by the Health Program Policy and Standards Branch, as part of the Health System Strategy Division. Such policies and standards are seen as part of a sustainable, publicly funded system.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Visions and Targets for Home Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
<th>Manitoba</th>
<th>Ontario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target to be determined for home care rate.</td>
<td>Saskatchewan’s current level of home care services continues to exceed the First Ministers’ commitment in the 10-year plan of 2004. Saskatchewan continues to be committed to maintaining a high level of home care services.</td>
<td>Each RHA is targeting development that is based on identified needs.</td>
<td>Establish clear directives for providing access to services in targeted sectors (program designs, standards, policies, regulations, legislation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance targets relating to deaths out of hospital for end-of-life (EOL) measures:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase effectiveness of policies for accessing health care services by sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provincial long-term target is 60%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improve the quality of designs, policies and standards for health care programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provincial benchmark is 50%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase integration and alignment between program policy change initiatives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Visions and Targets for Home Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
<th>Manitoba</th>
<th>Ontario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health System Performance Improvement Measure for 2007/2008:</td>
<td>RHAs are asked to report on the delivery, development and enhancement of services as part of accountability requirements for Saskatchewan Health.</td>
<td>Performance indicators are established for each of the goals/objectives of the Manitoba home care program.</td>
<td>Develop program performance measures and monitor and evaluate mechanisms for application across the province.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-standardized client count rate per 1,000 population for assisted living programs, adult day programs, home support / Choice in Supports for Independent Living, and direct care service types, clients aged 65 and over.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the health authorities’ 2005/06–2007/08 Performance Agreement, the Ministry of Health included two new performance measures for EOL care, focused on the percentage of natural deaths occurring in settings outside hospitals within each health authority:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Percentage of deaths from cancer increased from 45.3% in 2005 to 49.7% in 2006.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Percentage of non-cancer deaths increased from 45.9% in 2005 to 47.3% in 2006.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Visions and Targets for Home Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Brunswick</th>
<th>Prince Edward Island</th>
<th>Nova Scotia</th>
<th>Newfoundland and Labrador</th>
<th>Yukon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To integrate all services and bring them closer to people in their homes, including enhancing the Extra-Mural Program. Telehealth and e-health to figure prominently in this goal. New Brunswick is also currently developing a new provincial health plan.</td>
<td>To continue providing a wide range of services in home care, with focus on greater attention to exploring service delivery models. Additional resources would be required to make the vision fully operational.</td>
<td>To provide safe, quality care in the home, allowing people to stay in their own home for as long as is beneficial to their health.</td>
<td>To achieve a consistent approach to home care delivery throughout the province, supporting individuals and families and empowering them to achieve their optimal functioning, health and well-being in the setting of their choice.</td>
<td>To support people’s diverse needs for quality of life and living independently. Yukon Home Care supplements other community supports and is linked to a continuity of health care services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Visions and Targets for Home Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>New Brunswick</th>
<th>Prince Edward Island</th>
<th>Nova Scotia</th>
<th>Newfoundland and Labrador</th>
<th>Yukon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TARGETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family and Community Services is currently developing a 10-year strategy for long-term care services. Strong commitment with New Brunswick’s Extra-Mural Program to remain innovative in meeting the needs for home health care now and in the future.</td>
<td>Following a restructuring of the Department of Health, a strategic plan has been developed but has not yet been approved.</td>
<td>Enhance palliative care services. Increase budget allotment for home adaptation and repair programs. Where possible, shift mental health services to be home-based rather than hospital-based.</td>
<td>Identify core services of home care and minimize discrepancies between service delivery areas.</td>
<td>Enhance data collection at the point of care. Develop and implement electronic health records (EHRs) to support delivery of home care service. Apply interRAI tools to assist with focused individual care planning processes. Enhance supports for delivery of services in rural and remote locations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to improve the remote monitoring program to integrate with the clinical information system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue to improve the remote monitoring program to integrate with the clinical information system.
Visions and Targets for Home Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Brunswick</th>
<th>Prince Edward Island</th>
<th>Nova Scotia</th>
<th>Newfoundland and Labrador</th>
<th>Yukon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home care programs are accumulating the required data to satisfy demands for evidence-based decision making.</td>
<td>Work is in progress.</td>
<td>First phase of palliative care entitlements has been completed; services can be accessed from anywhere in the province at first-dollar coverage during the last three months of life.</td>
<td>Quarterly reports began on April 1, 2007. Reports are to contain indicators of service delivery. Continued enhanced usage of the client referral management system (CRMS). Continue working with Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) and interRAI projects to develop the minimum data set.</td>
<td>Yukon is currently the only jurisdiction that feeds live data to CIHI’s Home Care Reporting System. EHR is in place and is audited semi-annually. interRAI data are reported quarterly to CIHI. Needs assessment conducted in three Yukon communities annually.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Visions and Targets for Home Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Northwest Territories</th>
<th>Nunavut</th>
<th>Canadian Forces</th>
<th>Health Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VISION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To meet individual needs in the least intrusive manner, promoting the greatest opportunity for lasting wellness and functional independence.</td>
<td>To maintain and increase capacity to support people in their own communities and homes for as long as possible, resulting in less dependence on facility-based acute care.</td>
<td>To assist clients to remain healthy and independent and in their own homes and communities, through Veterans Affairs Canada’s (VAC’s) national home care program. The program includes services such as housekeeping, groundskeeping, and personal care. A programs-of-choice component provides benefits such as special equipment and therapeutic services to ensure that equipment and services continue to be appropriate for clients’ needs.</td>
<td>To provide basic home and community care services that are comprehensive, culturally sensitive, accessible, effective and equitable and that respond to the unique health and social needs of First Nations and Inuit peoples, through the First Nations and Inuit Home and Community Care Program. The program is a coordinated system of home- and community care—based health-related services that enable people with disabilities, chronic or acute illnesses, and those who are elderly to receive the care they need in their home communities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Visions and Targets for Home Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Northwest Territories</th>
<th>Nunavut</th>
<th>Canadian Forces</th>
<th>Health Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Every resident of Northwest Territories to have access to increased quantity and quality of services provided by highly skilled and certified employees.</td>
<td>No further specific targets since meeting Accord commitments. Enhance additional therapies (e.g. occupational therapy, physiotherapy, language therapy) to strengthen the home care program.</td>
<td>Continue regular reviews of its comprehensive home care program, Veterans Independence Program (VIP), and add new benefits or modify existing programs as necessary. Any new therapies or other interventions proposed for inclusion must be supported by independent medical research.</td>
<td>Not provided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Communities are required to submit monthly program delivery statistics. The data, including non-identifying patient demographic information as well as the type and hours of service provided, are collected electronically.

First Nations communities are encouraged to follow the direction of their provincial/territorial counterparts with regard to developing IT strategies. As communities move towards an electronic medical record, they will be encouraged to implement home care assessment tools, as per their P/T counterparts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northwest Territories</th>
<th>Nunavut</th>
<th>Canadian Forces</th>
<th>Health Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEASURES</strong></td>
<td>To better measure progress on home care, NWT is looking at enhancing its data-gathering system through such methods as collecting data similar to the interRAI project. Such things as adverse-event reporting and the system of indicator development exhibited by the interRAI project are being considered for adoption into NWT’s continuing care strategy.</td>
<td>Diagnostic data are focused primarily on acute and chronic disease management. Hours of service by type of patient are monitored. Overall service utilization is monitored and analyzed regionally, locally and across the territory.</td>
<td>The VAC home care program is evaluated regularly. The last five years have seen a renewed emphasis on quality assurance and improvement. As a fundamental component of the case-management process, clients receiving services under the national VIP are closely monitored and regularly reassessed to ensure that health, functional status, and overall well-being remain at an optimum.</td>
<td>Communities are required to submit monthly program delivery statistics. The data, including non-identifying patient demographic information as well as the type and hours of service provided, are collected electronically. First Nations communities are encouraged to follow the direction of their provincial/territorial counterparts with regard to developing IT strategies. As communities move towards an electronic medical record, they will be encouraged to implement home care assessment tools, as per their P/T counterparts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
<th>Manitoba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBJECTIVES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To optimize the health of persons with functional impairment due to aging, illness or disability by expanding and redesigning the home and community care system to improve access to, and choice within, an enhanced range of community support options.</td>
<td>Home care is a key component of primary health care (PHC) renewal. As an example, home care professionals are part of PHC teams. The goal is for 100% of Saskatchewan residents to have access to these teams by 2011. Mental health home care and crisis response have been implemented and require monitoring and review.</td>
<td>To ensure assessment of client care needs and eligibility for home care services. To ensure support of clients and their family caregivers so they may remain independent and in the community as long as possible. To ensure provision of services in the home (or an alternative community setting) instead of a care facility, where appropriate. To ensure collaboration with care facilities in effective discharge planning. To ensure coordination of placement in a care facility. To ensure collaboration with communities to develop services to meet changing client needs. To evaluate the impact of the Manitoba Home Care Program on target populations and on other health care delivery systems.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
<th>Manitoba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The Vancouver Island Health Authority (VIHA) has initiated a pharmacy medication assessment and administration project at three pilot sites, [with the intent of expanding] the project across the health authority by spring of 2008. The primary goal is to improve VIHA home and community care clients’ safety and health outcomes related to medication use. | In 2006/07, Saskatchewan Health invested and annualized $2.9 million provincially for the enhancement of acute home care services. This initiative seeks to:  
* increase capacity for short-term acute and end-of-life care;  
* eliminate personal care fees for short-term acute care for up to 14 days; and  
* increase case management, home support services, and crisis response for clients with mental illness. | Manitoba Health’s method of program data collation was revised using current technology and human resources. A long-term care strategy, Aging in Place, addresses the need for affordable housing options. The strategy includes building on supports which could reduce inappropriate use of acute care. |
Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
<th>Manitoba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A standardized, evidence-based approach (including tools, processes, and guidelines) to medication assessment and administration is to be developed throughout VIHA. The process involves collaboration with community pharmacists who participate in identification of risks and care planning.</td>
<td>Through community-based service, the initiative also facilitates early hospital discharge, avoids or prevents readmission, and avoids or prevents imminent admission. Saskatchewan Health worked with regional health authorities (RHA) to facilitate the development, implementation and delivery of acute community mental health home care. This includes case management, and professional and home support, without fees, for up to 14 days.</td>
<td>Manitoba Health’s method of program data collation was revised using current technology and human resources. A long-term care strategy, Aging in Place, addresses the need for affordable housing options. The strategy includes building on supports that could reduce inappropriate use of acute care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A late-life depression pilot project has been developed by the home health and mental health programs in Abbotsford and Mission, acting as partners, to evaluate the effectiveness of providing primary care treatment to community-dwelling older adults experiencing depression.</td>
<td>In addition, the province contracted Saskatchewan’s HealthLine to develop, implement and deliver a provincial mental health and addictions crisis response service. The service was introduced in December 2006.</td>
<td>Provincial networks and other ongoing mechanisms facilitate sharing and collaboration across the province.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ministry is reviewing the need for possible legislative, regulatory, and policy changes to support end-of-life (EOL) care in areas such as hospice care and advance care planning. In addition, the ministry is supporting research, identifying opportunities to enhance education for professionals, caregivers, and the general public, and working with the BC Medical Association, through the General Practitioners Services Committee, on remuneration issues related to palliative care.</td>
<td></td>
<td>RHAs are reviewing human resources trends and needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
<th>Manitoba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

In February 2007, the ministry issued a revised *Joint Protocol for Expected/Planned Home Deaths*. The protocol outlines procedures for managing anticipated natural home deaths resulting from terminal illness and the roles of the family, various health professionals, and the agencies involved. First developed in 1996, the protocol has been well received and successful in directing families, first responders and health care providers in planning for home deaths and in responding appropriately at the time of death.

The BC NurseLine has provided enhanced training to all its nurses on responding to EOL care issues. Under a project initiated with the Fraser Health Authority (FHA) in 2004, BC NurseLine also now makes direct referrals after hours (9:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m.) to FHA’s on-call palliative providers. Based on an evaluation of its success, other health authorities are now looking to implement a similar service. In June 2006, this innovative palliative telenursing service won the Tommy Douglas 2006 Protection of Medicare award.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
<th>Manitoba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Too often, hospital care is being used as a substitute for home care rather than the other way around.</td>
<td>Recruiting/retaining health professional staff and workers in both urban and rural/remote areas presents challenges in the delivery of home care.</td>
<td>Sustaining human resources, including staff recruitment, training and retention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring provision of a wide range of services across the province, including in rural and remote areas, remains a challenge.</td>
<td><strong>Working Together: Saskatchewan’s Health Workforce Action Plan</strong> sets a direction for a more integrated workforce and includes initiatives and innovations to improve health workplaces and to address issues affecting key health professionals.</td>
<td>Increasing complexity of clients’ care needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other challenges include:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Expanding use of in-home medical technology and resulting HR and training requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• an aging workforce;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Access of all RHAs to electronic assessment tools to further facilitate quality decision making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• introduction of new technology;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improving community attitudes towards care providers and towards living in alternative community environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• lack of comprehensive, integrated information systems in the community sector;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• integration with other health sectors.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OBJECTIVES

To achieve greater development of regional management. As the Department of Health does not provide services directly, it must provide for an array of services that are consistent across the province and that meet policy requirements. It must evaluate practices and ensure that standards and procedures are being followed by contracted agencies.

To plan for province-wide delivery of home care services so that consistency of available services is ensured and provided.

To support individuals to remain and age in their home and community, and to relieve pressures on hospitals, the Health Program, Policy and Standards Branch (HPPSB) continues to demonstrate and pursue the benefits of community care over higher-cost institutional care.

By the end of 2007/08, Community Care Access Centres (CCACs) are expected to achieve their client targets. From 2003/04 through the end of 2007/08, enhancements to home care funding delivered through CCACs will have provided an additional 95,700 Ontarians with short-term acute care in their homes, and EOL care to another 6,000 clients.

Any door should be the right door when it comes to patients’ enquiries about their health, and patients should be able to access the same information throughout the health system.

Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ontario</th>
<th>New Brunswick</th>
<th>Prince Edward Island</th>
<th>Nova Scotia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To support individuals to remain and age in their home and community, and to relieve pressures on hospitals, the Health Program, Policy and Standards Branch (HPPSB) continues to demonstrate and pursue the benefits of community care over higher-cost institutional care. By the end of 2007/08, Community Care Access Centres (CCACs) are expected to achieve their client targets. From 2003/04 through the end of 2007/08, enhancements to home care funding delivered through CCACs will have provided an additional 95,700 Ontarians with short-term acute care in their homes, and EOL care to another 6,000 clients.</td>
<td>Any door should be the right door when it comes to patients’ enquiries about their health, and patients should be able to access the same information throughout the health system.</td>
<td>To plan for province-wide delivery of home care services so that consistency of available services is ensured and provided.</td>
<td>To achieve greater development of regional management. As the Department of Health does not provide services directly, it must provide for an array of services that are consistent across the province and that meet policy requirements. It must evaluate practices and ensure that standards and procedures are being followed by contracted agencies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ontario</th>
<th>New Brunswick</th>
<th>Prince Edward Island</th>
<th>Nova Scotia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding is being targeted at two key strategies, acute hospital replacement and EOL care, thus supporting the government’s commitment to strengthening the home care sector.</td>
<td>The Extra-Mural Program (EMP) has recently been enhanced by the recent Self-Sufficiency Task Force, with a particular focus on bringing services closer to people in their own homes.</td>
<td>The palliative care program is the best example of integrated practice that the provincial home care program has been able to implement and sustain.</td>
<td>The Continuing Care Branch has been working with the Department of Community Services on housing and home adaption programs, and district network committees have been working with the District Health Authorities, with the goal of wrapping services around the clients so that they are not intrusive, and to manage clients in supportive environments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### End-of-Life Care Strategy
For people in the last stages of their lives, this strategy shifts care from hospitals to home or another appropriate setting of the client’s choice. The strategy also aims to enhance an interdisciplinary team approach to care in the community and is working towards better coordination and integration of local services.

Funding to support nursing and personal support services in residential hospices in more than 30 communities by 2007/08 is also included. Residential hospices offer care, compassion and dignity to those who are in their last stages of life, while providing needed support to their families.
Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ontario</th>
<th>New Brunswick</th>
<th>Prince Edward Island</th>
<th>Nova Scotia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Aging at Home Strategy**

The strategy is intended to help seniors stay healthy and to live more independently in their own homes by providing seniors and their caregivers with an integrated continuum of community-based services. The strategy was announced on August 28, 2007, by the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, with $702 million in new funding over the next three years. Funding is to be directed at traditional services, including:

- community support services;
- home care;
- assistive devices;
- supportive housing services;
- long-term care beds; and
- EOL care.

As well, the strategy works to link the above services and providers with new and innovative approaches to service and non-traditional providers.
In addition, the government announced funding of $13.7 million on February 16, 2007, of community-based health care providers. A portion of this is for CCACs.

Announced on October 27, 2006, a three-point action plan to relieve pressure on emergency departments (total investment of $142 million) included:

- $30 million — one-time funding in 2006/07 for CCACs to better serve the needs of their clients and to provide services that appropriately meet their needs and allow people to remain safely, comfortably, and independently in their homes for longer.
- $5.3 million — for community services as part of a multi-year strategy to address pressures in 10 communities; some of this funding was to be allocated to CCACs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ontario</th>
<th>New Brunswick</th>
<th>Prince Edward Island</th>
<th>Nova Scotia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition, the government announced funding of $13.7 million on February 16, 2007, of community-based health care providers. A portion of this is for CCACs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced on October 27, 2006, a three-point action plan to relieve pressure on emergency departments (total investment of $142 million) included:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• $30 million — one-time funding in 2006/07 for CCACs to better serve the needs of their clients and to provide services that appropriately meet their needs and allow people to remain safely, comfortably, and independently in their homes for longer.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• $5.3 million — for community services as part of a multi-year strategy to address pressures in 10 communities; some of this funding was to be allocated to CCACs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ontario</th>
<th>New Brunswick</th>
<th>Prince Edward Island</th>
<th>Nova Scotia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To provide increasing support for an aging population.</td>
<td>New Brunswick’s home care plan reflects the reality that the health care system does not have the capacity to provide home nursing care 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Acknowledging the idea that empowering patients to self-manage their health is not new, the plan has a strong focus on client service with clients actively involved in their own care decisions. This move away from health professionals dictating health goals to patients and patients taking a more active role in their own care represents a continuing, fundamental change in focus.</td>
<td>Allocation of scarce financial resources. Multiple agendas within the health care system.</td>
<td>Human resources may be a factor in rural and remote areas. Recruitment and retention are both significant issues. The Department of Health works with contracted agencies to develop HR strategies throughout the sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To relieve pressures in hospitals and long-term care homes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To provide the right services, in the right place, and at the right time to ensure seniors are cared for with the best support possible.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Newfoundland and Labrador</th>
<th>Yukon Territories</th>
<th>Northwest Territories</th>
<th>Nunavut</th>
<th>Canadian Forces</th>
<th>Health Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To achieve consistency in assessment and delivery of home care, regardless of the RHA delivering services.</td>
<td>To support clients’ diverse needs towards quality of life and living independently.</td>
<td>A needs assessment of current clients accessing home and community care was planned to be completed by March 2008. From there, a plan will be developed to include short- and long-term goals for home and community care that will integrate with the Continuing Care Strategy and Action Plan currently in development.</td>
<td>Specific new initiatives include training programs, such as training home and continuingcare workers. Efforts are being made to design a curriculum that would work for staff both in a facility environment and in the community. The focus is on capacity building.</td>
<td>To provide a wide range of services and programs to veterans based on eligibility and need. Clients are regularly screened, assessed and monitored in order to identify care and service requirements.</td>
<td>To foster better integration at the community level with community PHC services as well as with the assisted living program funded by Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, home and community care funding has been moved into a cluster with PHC. This approach will support clients’ better access to a comprehensive continuum of care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The provincial home care division has identified home care as an integral component of primary health care.</td>
<td>Home care is intended to supplement other community supports and is linked to a continuity of health care services.</td>
<td>NWT is committed to expanding access to social respite care under the umbrella of continuing care through the home and community care stream. A successful pilot respite program in Yellowknife will be expanded to other communities in the future.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Newfoundland and Labrador</th>
<th>Yukon</th>
<th>Northwest Territories</th>
<th>Nunavut</th>
<th>Canadian Forces</th>
<th>Health Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All funding allocated under the Accord for home care nursing went into client services. The funding was directed to the regions based on their own needs assessments.</td>
<td>Current developments in home care include implementing EHRs and applying interRAI tools to assist with focused, individual care planning processes. Yukon is currently the only jurisdiction that feeds live data to CIHI’s home care reporting system.</td>
<td>The action plan also includes objectives for enhancing continuing care, such as: * services for adults and elderly persons, through enhancing home and community care positions; * completing renovations of several long-term care facilities; * support planning for a dementia facility in 2007/08. To enhance supported living options for adults with disabilities or mental illnesses, a plan [was] to be completed in 2008.</td>
<td>Nunavut has been successful in implementing a home care program and in creating and training a home care group workforce. Work continues to progress towards integrating home care with other dimensions of primary health care. Case management and the enhancement of additional therapies — such as occupational therapy, physiotherapy, and language therapy — have been targeted for future development.</td>
<td>The Veterans Independence Program (VIP), a comprehensive national home care program, has been offered to eligible veterans for over 20 years. VIP services may include grounds maintenance, housekeeping, and personal care services such as assistance with bathing, dressing and eating. These services make a tremendous impact on an individual’s ability to remain at home.</td>
<td>Not provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior to the new funding, only those clients living in St. John’s had access to home intravenous therapy. Now, that service is available across the province, delivered by community health nurses. A four-week EOL home care program means that, should clients choose to die in their own homes, their families would not bear the financial burden for the clients’ pain medication or home support. This is viewed as a significant support to the families.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIP services may include grounds maintenance, housekeeping, and personal care services such as assistance with bathing, dressing and eating. These services make a tremendous impact on an individual’s ability to remain at home.
## Expanding Access to Home Care Services: Selected Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Newfoundland and Labrador</th>
<th>Yukon</th>
<th>Northwest Territories</th>
<th>Nunavut</th>
<th>Canadian Forces</th>
<th>Health Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment and retention of health professionals, including nurses, occupational therapists and other professionals, is a challenge.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon is experiencing an ongoing and growing need for long-term supports for younger people with medically complex and chronic conditions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and transportation challenges are a significant part of the potentially high cost associated with extending access to home care to all Yukon residents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not provided.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruiting and retaining home care nurses is a challenge, as is retaining home support workers and recruiting individuals with formal training. Frequently, not enough candidates have formal training, so on-the-job training is provided as an interim step before individuals get formal training.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The capacity of clients to access services may vary with marketplace conditions in the provinces or health regions. Provincial programming may vary in terms of what is offered and the time frames relating to accessing services. VAC works in close collaboration with other jurisdictions so that veterans may receive required services in a timely manner.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wage disparities, isolation, nursing shortages and lack of professional support are often cited as deterrents for service providers. Lack of educational preparedness is a major barrier to recruitment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Appendix Three

### Co-payments and Income Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>Home support is an income-tested program, with the exception of two weeks’ post—acute home support or palliative care. The client rate is based on net income minus deductions for living expenses. In the case of serious financial hardship, clients can seek a rate reduction. Home support fees were capped at $300 per month in 2005.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>Home support is income tested, with consideration given to both the size of the family and income. The fee is based on a sliding schedule. People who receive the Alberta Widows’ Pension, Guaranteed Income Supplement, Supports for Independence, or Assured Income for the Severely Handicapped are eligible for a fee exemption. Fees may be waived if they cause undue hardship. A client may pay for home support at $5 per hour to a maximum of approximately $300 per month, at which point the client no longer has to pay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>Income-tested fees are applied to clients for chargeable services (meals, homemaking and home maintenance) after their first 10 units (an hour or a meal) of service in a month. In 2007, the first 10 units of service were $6.96 per unit per hour, and after the 10th unit, fees are charged based on the client’s ability to pay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>There are no fees for home care services, but adult day care, meals on wheels and facility respite have direct fees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>There are no co-payments or income testing for home care services for those who have been assessed as needing home care (those with a temporary incapacity, those who are palliative and those with a disability).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>There are no co-payments or income testing for home care services for those who have been assessed as needing home care (those with a temporary incapacity, those who are palliative and those with a disability).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td>Long-term supportive and residential care is income tested according to net income. There are no charges for professional services. There is a client contribution based on income testing for home support services through Family and Community Services. As in the rest of Canada, individuals who do not require financial assistance can purchase home support services privately.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Co-payments and Income Testing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
<td>Fees apply to home care, unless clients' net income falls within or below the designated provincial income category or they receive income-tested government benefits. The maximum monthly fee charged to clients is decided by the client fee determination process, based upon net income and family size. Clients in Chronic Home Care may be charged an hourly fee for home support, personal care and family relief services provided by home support workers/continuing care assistants. Clients receiving home oxygen services are assessed a monthly fee. No fees are charged for nursing services or personal care services provided by registered nurses or licensed practical nurses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Edward Island</td>
<td>The co-payment for home support services was removed in 2007. There are no direct fees for professional services, but clients must pay the cost of medications, supplies and equipment required for care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundland and Labrador</td>
<td>There is no income testing for those requiring professional services or short-term acute home support. To receive home support services, a financial assessment is required. The income threshold varies according to disability and marital status. There may be a co-payment for home support services. Eligibility for supplies, medication and equipment is also means tested except for programs under the 2004 Accord. A limited amount of health care supplies and equipment is provided to home care recipients. Clients may be eligible for the Newfoundland and Labrador prescription drug program. Palliative care is not means tested and is provided for up to a month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Territories and Nunavut</td>
<td>There is no income testing and no charges. Supplies, equipment and medication may be funded through a variety of sources including user pay, Non-Insured Health Benefits, extended benefits or private insurance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>There is no income testing, nor are there direct fees. Clients must pay for supplies and equipment but may obtain assistance. The costs are covered for palliative care or if you are over 65 years of age, or if a person is registered in the Chronic Disease Program (through Yukon Extended Care benefits). The Non-Insured Health Benefits for First Nations provides some equipment and funding assistance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Services Not Offered Through Home Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Services Provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>Nurse practitioner, physician, pharmacy, speech and language pathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>Nurse practitioner, physician, pharmacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>Nurse practitioner; speech and language pathology; physician services; social work, pharmacy, respiratory, dietetics; services may be provided through regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>Nurse practitioner, speech and language pathology, physician services, pharmacy, dietetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>Physician (home visits are allowed but are restricted under the fee schedule), pharmacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>All services appear to be funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td>Nurse practitioner (physicians reimbursed for services provided to Extra-Mural Program clients)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
<td>Nurse practitioner, physiotherapy, occupational therapy, speech language pathology, social work, dietetics, physician services, pharmacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Edward Island</td>
<td>Speech and language pathology, respiratory therapy, nurse practitioner or physician services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundland and Labrador</td>
<td>Newfoundland and Labrador does not fund physician or pharmacy through home care but does fund all other services, including speech and language pathology on a limited basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>Nurse practitioner, respiratory therapist, dietetics, physician, pharmacy, self-managed care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Territories</td>
<td>Respiratory therapy, self-managed care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunavut</td>
<td>Nurse practitioner and physician services, pharmacy, respiratory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Coverage of Drugs, Supplies and Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>There is help for drug coverage through provincial programs such as <em>Fair Pharmacare</em>. The first two weeks of supplies are provided at no charge to post–acute home care clients. Palliative medication and supplies are given to clients receiving end-of-life services through the <em>Palliative Care Benefits Program</em>. Home care clients are responsible for equipment rentals but are assessed for aids if on a community rehabilitation program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>Supplies, equipment and medication are paid for by a mix of public and private funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>Supplies, equipment and medication are paid for by a mix of public and private funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>Supplies, equipment and medication are paid for by a mix of public and private funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>The home care client is eligible to receive pharmaceuticals that are listed on the provincial formulary. Community Care Access Centres provide some supplies and equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>Medical supplies, drugs and equipment are funded by various public agencies (health and social services agency [CSSS], Régie d’assurance maladie du Québec and fiduciary establishments).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td><em>Extra-Mural Program</em> clients are required to use third-party insurance for drugs wherever possible. If the client has no insurance, the program covers drugs directly related to the client condition. Long-term care and Family and Community Services clients may receive assistance with drugs, supplies and equipment through social assistance or through the Pharmacare program for seniors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
<td>Medical supplies used during the nursing visit are provided, but all other medical supplies are the responsibility of the client. The client is also responsible for providing medical equipment. Medications are provided at no charge to Acute Home Care patients to a maximum combined cost for services and supplies of $4,000 per month. Services for chronic care should not exceed the cost of equivalent care in a long-term care facility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Coverage of Drugs, Supplies and Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prince Edward Island</td>
<td>Until May 2008, clients had to pay the cost of medications, supplies and equipment required for care. In that same month, the Palliative Home Care Drug Program was introduced, which provides drug coverage for pain and symptom management to those who wish to spend their last days in the location of their choice, including at home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundland and Labrador</td>
<td>Clients are subject to a means test before being eligible to receive supplies and equipment, unless the individual is on the short-term acute care or end-of-life program. Medications are provided only for those on the acute home care and end-of-life program, and those eligible for the province’s prescription drug program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Territories</td>
<td>Supplies, equipment and medication may be funded through a variety of sources, including user pay, non—Insured Health Benefits, extended benefits programs or private insurance. Some regions have equipment available on loan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunavut</td>
<td>Supplies, equipment and medication may be funded through a variety of sources, including user pay, non—Insured Health Benefits, extended benefits programs or private insurance. Some regions have equipment available on loan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>Supplies, equipment and medication may be funded through a variety of sources, including user pay, non—Insured Health Benefits, extended benefits programs or private insurance. Some regions have equipment available on loan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Limits to Care Provision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>There are no maximum limits set. Care is given based on assessed need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>The regions were responsible for setting limits, but as of the spring of 2008, Alberta centralized its health administration; therefore, it is expected that one standard will apply to the whole province.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>Saskatchewan has no official limits; the regions generally encourage a move to a long-term care facility when the costs of home care services reach the level of facility care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>Public funding is offered until costs approximate the cost of placement in a long-term care facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>Personal support/homemaking services are provided up to a maximum of 60 hours per month (or 80 hours in the first month of service), 120 hours for adults with physical disabilities. Nursing services are provided for up to 28 visits per week by an RN or RPN; or 43 hours per week for an RN; or 53 hours per week for an RPN; or 48 hours per week by a combination of RN and RPN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>Public funding is offered until costs approximate the cost of placement in a long-term care facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td>Home support is limited to 215 hours per month, and the services provided through the <em>Extra-Mural Program</em> will not exceed those in facility-based long-term care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
<td>Services for chronic care should not exceed the cost of equivalent care in a long-term care facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Edward Island</td>
<td>Home care services cannot exceed three visits or 28 hours a week, unless special permission is obtained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundland and Labrador</td>
<td>There are no limits for professional services, but these services cannot be accessed after hours and there is no provision for emergency response. Home support services are limited by a financial ceiling of $2,707 per month for seniors and $3,875 per month for adults with a disability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunavut</td>
<td>There are no maximum limits set. Care is given based on assessed need.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Limits to Care Provision

| Yukon | The maximum number of home support hours per client is 35 per week. Services are limited to twice daily visits by professional staff. The total cost of care cannot exceed the cost of care in a long-term care facility. |
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